In The Matter Of: Griffin vs. City of Atlanta

Deposition Of: 30(b)(6) Arthur Nixon

> Taken On: 9/22/2020

Pope Reporting & Video, LLC 2741 Pangborn Road 404-856-0966

www.popereporting.com



## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT COUNTY ATLANTA DIVISION

TYLER GRIFFIN,

Plaintiff,

v.

CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 1:20-cv-02514-TWT

CITY OF ATLANTA, DONALD VICKERS, MATTHEW ABAD, AND JOHN DOE #1-5,

Defendants.

DEPOSITION CONDUCTED VIA VIDEO CONFERENCE

VIDEOTAPED 30(b)(6) DEPOSITION OF CITY OF ATLANTA ARTHUR NIXON

September 22, 2020 2:01 p.m.

Witness located in Atlanta, Georgia

By Jennifer Davis-McLain, RMR, CRR, CRC Certified Court Reporter, License No. 2496

30(b)(6) Arthur Nixon

1	REMOTE APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:
2	On behalf of the Plaintiff:
3	MATTHEW R. KAHN, ESQUIRE JAMES E. BUTLER III, ESQUIRE
4	Butler Law Firm  10 Lenox Pointe NE
5	Atlanta, Georgia 30324 404.587.8423
6	matt@butlerfirm.com jeb@butlerfirm.com
7	On behalf of the Defendants:
8	ALISHA MARIE S. NAIR, ESQUIRE
9	STACI MILLER, ESQUIRE  JACQUITA PARKS, ESQUIRE
10	City of Atlanta Law Department 55 Trinity Avenue SW
11	Suite 5000 Atlanta, Georgia 30303
12	404.330.6402
13	amnair@atlantaga.gov sjmiller@atlantaga.gov
14	japarks@atlantaga.gov
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	(Pursuant to Article 10(B) of the Rules and
22	Regulations of the Georgia Board of Court Reporting, a written disclosure statement was submitted by the
23	court reporter to all counsel present at the proceeding.)
24	
25	

30(b)(6) Arthur Nixon

1		INDEX TO EXAMINATIONS
2		PAGE
3	ARTHUR NIXON	
4	<del>-</del>	Mr. Kahn5
5	Examination by	Ms. Nair72
6		
7		INDEX TO EXHIBITS
8	EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION PAGE
9	Exhibit 1.2	Video34
10	Exhibit 2.5	Video53
11	Exhibit 3.1	Video54
12	Exhibit 3.3	Video49
13	Exhibit 4.1	Video49
14	Exhibit 4.2	Video39
15	Exhibit 5.2	Video51
16	Exhibit 43	Investigative Summary dated40 November 22, 2019 (Griffin v.
17		COA 000027-000032)
18	Exhibit 99	Plaintiff's Notice of Video10 Deposition of Defendant City of
19		Atlanta Pursuant to Rule 30(b)(6)
20	Exhibit 500	"Dozens of police officers in one .62 metro Atlanta county under
21		criminal investigation, attorney claims"
22		
23	(All deposition.)	exhibits marked prior to the
24	acposition.,	
25		

30(b)(6) Arthur Nixon

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	MR. KAHN: So this will be the Rule 30(b)(6)
3	deposition of the City of Atlanta taken pursuant to
4	notice and agreement. The deposition is taken in the
5	case Tyler Griffin versus the City of Atlanta. The
6	deposition will be taken pursuant to the Federal Rules
7	of Civil Procedure and for all purposes permitted
8	under those rules, including use at trial. And the
9	deposition is being taken on Zoom because of the COVID
10	pandemic.
11	Could we go ahead and swear in the witness.
12	COURT REPORTER: Yes, sir. Before we get
13	started, I will ask counsel to please identify
14	yourself and state on the record that you have no
15	objection to this officer of the court administering a
16	binding oath to this witness via Zoom. If we could
17	please begin with the noticing attorney.
18	MR. KAHN: Sure. Matt Kahn for the
19	plaintiff, and no objection.
20	MR. BUTLER: Jeb Butler for the plaintiff.
21	MS. NAIR: Alisha Marie Nair for the City of
22	Atlanta. No objection.
23	MS. MILLER: Staci Miller for the
24	defendants, and no objection.
25	MS. PARKS: Jacquita Parks for the

30(b)(6) Arthur Nixon

1	defendants. No objections.
2	COURT REPORTER: Thank you.
3	ARTHUR NIXON,
4	having been first duly sworn, was examined and
5	testified as follows:
6	EXAMINATION
7	BY MR. KAHN:
8	Q. All right. Mr. Nixon, will you please state
9	your full name and job title.
10	A. Yes. My name is Arthur Nixon. I'm a police
11	investigator with the City of Atlanta Police
12	Department.
13	Q. And how long have you been with APD?
14	A. Twenty-two and a half years.
15	Q. And how long have you been an investigator?
16	A. Since 2006.
17	Q. And are you with the internal affairs part
18	of OPS?
19	A. Yes, sir.
20	Q. Do you investigate police misconduct?
21	A. Yes, sir.
22	Q. And do you investigate allegations of
23	excessive force by APD officers?
24	A. Yes, sir, I do.
25	Q. Have you ever investigated allegations of

30(b)(6) Arthur Nixon

Exhibit F 9/22/2020

1 excessive force and found that the use of force was 2 unreasonable? 3 Α. Yes. 4 And can you give an example? Ο. MS. NAIR: Objection. Mr. -- Investigator 5 6 Nixon is here to testify as to Topics 1 and 11. 7 goes outside of the scope of those. 8 MR. KAHN: Okay. And just for the record, 9 you know, he has been designated for those topics, but 10 we are free to ask him questions within his personal 11 knowledge. 12 BY MR. KAHN: 13 So, Mr. Nixon, if you can answer. Ο. 14 Α. Yes. There was an instance where an officer 15 was called to a restaurant for -- it was a criminal 16 trespass issue. But while talking to the suspect, it 17 turned into a physical -- very brutal physical altercation that wasn't called for. As a result, that 18 19 officer is no longer with the department. 20 Okay. And what was that officer's name? Ο. 21 Α. Lancerot. 22 Do you recall when that happened? Q. 23 Maybe about a year and a half ago. Α. 24 Ο. Did you start with APD as a recruit? 25 Yes, I did. Α.

1	Q. And do you have any law enforcement
2	experience predating your time with APD?
3	A. I was a military police officer in the
4	military, and I was a Georgia Corrections officer
5	prior to APD.
6	Q. Okay. When did you first start working in
7	law enforcement?
8	A. If you want to use the Georgia Corrections,
9	that would be in April of 1997. I was a correction
10	officer. And I got hired with APD in December of '97.
11	And prior to both of those, I was in the military.
12	Q. And what branch of the military?
13	A. Air Force.
14	Q. Air Force. Thank you for your service.
15	A. Thank you.
16	Q. What sort of police training do you have?
17	A. With APD, it's the it's, I guess, a very
18	broad question, but arrest procedures, criminal
19	procedure. Just everything that entails being a
20	police officer.
21	Q. Okay. Have you undergone use-of-force
22	training?
23	A. Yes, sir.
24	Q. And have you taught or instructed other
25	police officers on use of force?

1	A. No, sir.
2	Q. Have you taught or instructed other police
3	officers on any subject?
4	A. No, sir. No. That requires an instructor
5	certification, and that's I don't have that.
6	Q. Okay. Would it be fair to say that as an
7	OPS investigator, you have the ability to apply APD
8	standard operating procedures to real-world scenarios?
9	A. Yes. As the investigation goes on, yes.
10	Yes, sir.
11	Q. And as an OPS investigator, isn't it true
12	that you have to be able to apply the standard
13	operating procedures to real-world scenarios?
14	A. To see if there's a violation?
15	Q. Yes, sir.
16	A. Yes, sir.
17	Q. Have you ever reported another officer for
18	the use of excessive force?
19	A. It has come since I've been here in the
20	unit, I believe so. Because sometimes we'll see
21	situations come up as a result some serious
22	situations that will come up as a result of the
23	investigation.
24	Q. And can you share an example of one of the
25	times that you've reported another officer?

1	A. We had a situation where I didn't
2	necessarily report it. We were looking at video, and
3	the use of the N-word came out during while we were
4	investigating one situation, use of the N-word came
5	out while we were looking at the video.
6	Q. And so I guess have you ever reported
7	another officer for excessive force versus abusive
8	language or inappropriate language?
9	A. Not that I can recall, no, sir.
10	Q. Okay. Have you ever testified in an
11	official proceeding that another officer used
12	unnecessary force?
13	A. No, sir.
14	Q. In your career as a police officer, have you
15	ever seen another officer use what you consider to be
16	excessive force in the field?
17	MS. NAIR: Objection. Beyond the scope.
18	BY MR. KAHN:
19	Q. You can answer.
20	A. No, sir. Not that I can recall, no, sir.
21	Q. Okay. Do you understand that we asked to
22	speak with someone who could testify on behalf of the
23	City of Atlanta on various subjects?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. And you understand that the city chose you

30(b)(6) Arthur Nixon

Exhibit F 9/22/2020

1 to testify about the identities and roles of various 2 witnesses in this case? 3 Α. Yes, sir. 4 And the city also chose you to testify about O. 5 the city's discovery responses in this case. 6 Α. Yes, sir. 7 Q. And you understand that the answers that you 8 give today will be binding on the City of Atlanta? 9 Α. Yes, sir. Have you ever been deposed before? 10 Q. 11 Yes, sir. Α. 12 How many times have you been deposed? O. Maybe four. 13 Α. 14 And were those cases involving allegations Q. 15 of the excessive use of force by a City of Atlanta 16 police officer? 17 More specifically, officer-involved Α. Yes. 18 shootings. 19 Q. Okay. All right. I'm going to briefly 20 share my screen with you. Can you see the document I 21 have labeled as Plaintiff's Exhibit 99 on your screen? 22 Α. Yes, sir. 23 And have you seen this document before? Q. 24 Α. Yes, sir. 25 And from my understanding, you've been Q.

1 designated to speak on Topic No. 1. Is that correct? 2 Yes, sir. Α. 3 O. And then also on Topic 11. Is that right? 4 Yes, sir. Α. 5 Are there any other topics on this list that Ο. 6 you -- that you have been asked to speak about? 7 Α. No, sir. 8 Okay. Excluding conversations with your Q. 9 lawyers who are here with us today and may not be 10 here, what did you do to prepare for today's 11 deposition? 12 Α. I reviewed the file. And when you refer to "the file," what 13 Ο. 14 specifically are you referring to? 15 Α. The write-up. I did the write-up and the 16 body-worn camera. And also we don't see the charges, 17 the punishment, I will say, of the accused officer at all unless a situation like this comes about, and I 18 was able to see what the -- what the officers 19 20 involved -- what the punishment was. 21 Ο. Okay. So typically you make a 22 recommendation as to what a punishment should be, and 23 then that's -- so it's sort of off your desk? 24 Α. No, sir. No. We don't make a 25 recommendation. We just find the facts. And when I

1 turn it in, I don't see it again ever unless a 2 situation like this comes back -- comes up. And then 3 when I do see it, I see how my supervisor wrote up he 4 should be sustained for this or not sustained for that 5 and exonerated, and that's the first I see of it. 6 Ο. Okay. And who is your supervisor? 7 Α. That would be Lieutenant Zenelaj. Well, 8 he's a captain now, but at the time 9 Lieutenant Zenelaj. 10 Okay. Did you review the lawsuit that was Q. filed in this case? 11 Yes. Your -- what the plaintiff is 12 Α. 13 alleging? 14 Q. Yes, sir. 15 Yes. Α. 16 Did you review any of the City of Atlanta's Ο. 17 discovery responses? 18 Α. Yes. 19 And which ones did you review? Q. 20 I have the -- can you see it? I have this, 21 which is the -- I think that's the -- what you have on 22 the screen. And plaintiff's request for production. 23 And I have the plaintiff's first request for 24 production -- the responses. 25 Oh, is that -- I'm sorry. I didn't realize Q.

30(b)(6) Arthur Nixon

1	you had fi	nished. Is
2	Α.	Oh.
3	Q.	Do you have the responses to the request for
4	admission?	
5	A.	I do.
6	Q.	And do you have the responses to the request
7	for produc	tion of documents?
8	A.	I'm sure I do. I'm sure I do. It's just a
9	lot of par	perwork, but I'm sure I do.
10	Q.	Okay. Did you read the interrogatory
11	responses	in their entirety?
12	A.	Not in their entirety, no, sir.
13	Q.	Which ones did you focus on?
14	A.	What I was scheduled to testify on.
15	Q.	Okay. So any interrogatory dealing with
16	witnesses	or their roles their roles in the case?
17	A.	Yes, sir. Yes. Exactly.
18	Q.	And then which request for admission did you
19	look at?	
20	Α.	Which request for admission?
21	Q.	Yes, sir.
22	A.	I'm sorry. Can you break that down a little
23	bit for me	??
24	Q.	Sure. Did you did you look at any of the
25	city's res	ponses to the plaintiff's request for

30(b)(6) Arthur Nixon

1	admission?
2	A. I glanced over some of them, but I just I
3	did look at 1 and 11.
4	Q. And when you 1 and 11 being the topics on
5	Plaintiff's Exhibit 99?
6	A. Correct. The
7	Q. Okay.
8	A. The witnesses and their roles.
9	Q. Okay. Is there anything that you disagreed
10	with in the city's responses to the plaintiff's
11	request for admission?
12	A. That I disagree with? No.
13	Q. Is there anything that you disagree with in
14	the city's responses to plaintiff's interrogatories?
15	A. No. No, sir.
16	Q. Did you watch any of the body camera footage
17	of the officers involved in this case to prepare
18	A. I did.
19	Q for the deposition?
20	A. I did.
21	COURT REPORTER: I beg your pardon, but it
22	looks like one of the other witnesses has entered the
23	meeting.
24	(Off-the-record discussion.)
25	

```
1
    BY MR. KAHN:
 2
               When was the last time you watched the
          Ο.
 3
    video -- body camera --
 4
               Yesterday. Yesterday.
         Α.
 5
               And did you -- have you watched all of the
          Ο.
 6
    body camera footage?
 7
         Α.
               Yes.
 8
               Okay. Did you review any of the documents
          Q.
 9
    that the City of Atlanta produced in this case?
10
               As far as the request for production and
          Α.
    that -- those items?
11
12
               Yes, sir.
          Ο.
13
          Α.
               Yes.
14
          Q.
               And what specifically did you review?
15
               I guess it's the -- I guess it would be the
          Α.
16
    same thing as before, the plaintiff's request for
17
    production.
18
          Ο.
               Um-hum. So I guess maybe we -- I should be
    a little clearer. Did -- in preparing for the
19
20
    deposition today, did you look at any of the physical
21
    documents that the city produced in the case that were
22
    Bates-labeled?
23
               I'm sure I glanced over it, but I was
24
    more -- I more looked at the video and the file in
25
    preparation for the deposition.
```

30(b)(6) Arthur Nixon

Exhibit F 9/22/2020

1	Q. Okay.
2	A. The actual investigative file that I did.
3	Q. And has your entire investigative file been
4	produced in the in the case?
5	A. Yes, sir, it has.
6	Q. Okay. Did you review any of APD's standard
7	operating procedures to prepare today?
8	A. To prepare for today? No.
9	Q. Are you generally familiar with the SOPs?
10	A. Yeah. Generally, yes, sir. Um-hum.
11	Q. Besides your attorneys, did you speak with
12	any city employees to prepare for today's deposition?
13	A. No, sir.
14	Q. When you're investigating an allegation of
15	excessive force, what are you generally looking for?
16	A. The reasonableness and the necessary. Was
17	it reasonable that the officer did whatever it is he
18	or she did, and was it necessary that the officer did
19	whatever he or she did.
20	Q. And how would you what is the standard
21	for reasonableness, as you understand it?
22	A. There's a case law Graham v. Connor. It's
23	the totality of the whole situation. You've got to
24	you have to look at the whole situation and apply was
25	it reasonable and was it necessary that the officer

it reasonable and was it necessary that the officer

1 did whatever it is they did. 2 It's a -- it's a -- each investigation is 3 situational. So each one has to be looked at 4 independently, and you have to take the reasonableness 5 and the necessary -- was it reasonable that this 6 officer did whatever it is they did and was it 7 necessa- -- was it absolutely necessary that they did 8 what they did. 9 Ο. Okay. So would you agree that police 10 officers should only use force if it's necessary? 11 Α. Correct. Yes, sir. 12 And would you agree that if required to use Ο. force, police officers should only use reasonable 13 14 force? 15 Yes, sir. Α. Correct. 16 Would you agree that police officers should 17 attempt to deescalate a situation before using force? 18 Α. Most definitely. Yes, sir. 19 Is it fair to say that an officer's Ο. 20 subjective belief that force is necessary alone is not 21 enough to justify the use of force? 22 Α. Yes, sir. 23 MS. NAIR: Objection. 24 BY MR. KAHN:

Q.

25

I'm sorry. Can you -- was that a "yes"?

30(b)(6) Arthur Nixon

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Thank you.
3	The decision to use force has to be
4	reasonable under an objective standard. Correct?
5	A. Correct.
6	Q. And what is what does that objective
7	standard mean to you?
8	MS. NAIR: Objection as to form.
9	BY MR. KAHN:
10	Q. You can answer.
11	A. That you have to look at it from the
12	objective you have to just, like, stand outside of
13	yourself and look at it and say, okay, if I was a
14	stranger just looking at this, would it be right for
15	this person to do what they're doing.
16	Q. Okay.
17	A. Not knowing what I know as far as the law
18	and our policies and whatnot.
19	Q. Okay. That makes perfect sense.
20	What are the various outcomes of an
21	investigation of police misconduct?
22	A. What are the outcomes?
23	Q. Yes, sir.
24	A. You can be exonerated. You can be
25	sustained, which is basically, like, guilty. Or you

1 can be not sustained, which is we can't prove that 2 the -- you did whatever it is you did. 3 Exoneration is we have proof to show that 4 you did not do what you're accused of. Sustained is 5 we have something saying that you did what you did. 6 And not sustained just means that you're -- you're not guilty, but only because we couldn't prove it. 7 8 Q. Okay. And that covers my next questions, 9 which was what's the difference between not sustained 10 and exonerated. So we can --11 Right. Α. 12 -- skip that. Ο. 13 Α. Yeah. 14 Q. What does "unfounded" mean? 15 That is that there's no basis for the Α. 16 complaint at all. Like, the complainant completely 17 fabricated the allegation. 18 O. Okay. And how would you arrive at a conclusion of unfounded? 19 20 Body-worn camera. If we have -- if the 21 complainant said, "The officer punched me in the 22 nose," and we look at the body-worn camera and it 23 never happened, then we can unfound it. 24 O. Is the biggest -- the biggest factor 25 in determining the outcome generally going to be the

1	body-worn camera?
2	A. Yeah, it is now. The body-worn camera has
3	been instrumental in exoneration, sustaining, and
4	unfounding
5	Q. Okay.
6	A because that's our proof. We do pretty
7	much need some type of proof to unfound and to
8	exonerate.
9	Q. So in a situation where it's the police
10	officer's word versus the word of the arrestee, and
11	there's no video proof, what sort of designation would
12	you give that situation?
13	A. If it's a situation that we just say the
14	officer is accused of taking some money and not
15	turning in some money. The officer says he did
16	turn he did he didn't take it; the complainant's
17	saying he did. We don't have any proof saying
18	either-or; then that would be a not sustained.
19	Q. Okay. Once you conclude an investigation in
20	a complaint is sustained, do you make disciplinary
21	recommendations?
22	A. No, sir. That's totally out of my not my
23	level.
24	Q. What department or, you know, component of
25	the APD makes the actual recommendations of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

23

24

25

1 discipline?

- A. That would be the employee's chain of command, which would be the FO -- the deputy chief of FOD, field operation division. On this list, it would be Chief Glazier. So he would be the one to recommend discipline.
- Q. And when you say "chain of command," does that mean -- so deputy chief -- the deputy chief -- is that someone who is working with the -- working closely with the officer being investigated?
- A. He's not working -- the file eventually will land on his desk.
- 13 O. Okay.
  - A. We have six different deputy chiefs. Each deputy chief is in charge of a different facet in the department. And the zones all fall under one deputy chief, and at that -- at the time of this incident, it was Chief Glazier.
- 19 Q. Are you --
- 20 A. So --
- Q. -- familiar -- I'm sorry. You had something to add?
  - A. No. Just after I investigate it and give it to my lieutenant, my lieutenant gives it to our major, and it goes up to Chief Glazier's desk. Then he takes

1	a look at it. And if it's sustained, then he's the
2	one that recommends the discipline.
3	Q. Okay. And as you're you know, as you're
4	reviewing the file, do you ever form personal opinions
5	as to, you know, whether or not or how the police
6	officer should be disciplined?
7	A. Yeah. It comes up, yeah.
8	Q. Okay. And are you familiar with the various
9	types of discipline?
10	A. There are so many. You can have an oral
11	admonishment. You can have a suspension. You can be
12	terminated. There are there are many. And it's
13	up
14	Q. Okay. If sorry.
15	A to the
16	Q. Go ahead.
17	A. No, no. No problem. It's up to the
18	discretion of the deputy chief.
19	Q. Are there guidelines that suggest what sort
20	of discipline should be given for certain types of
21	infractions?
22	A. Yes, there is.
23	Q. And are those are those guidelines
24	mandatory, or are they totally discretionary?
25	A. No. I believe that it's mandatory. It

1 falls within a range of if you did this, then they --2 you can be disciplined up to -- within this guideline. 3 You may not get the --4 Ο. Okay. 5 -- brunt of it, and you might not get the 6 lesser end of it. You might come more somewhere in 7 between. 8 So if someone -- if someone were accused and 0. found -- or accused and sustained for violating a 9 10 serious rule, the person awarding the discipline would 11 have to give the disciplinary outcome that falls 12 within the range set by the rules? 13 MS. NAIR: Objection as to form. 14 But you may answer, Investigator Nixon, if 15 you know. 16 MR. KAHN: I'm sorry. What was that 17 objection? MS. NAIR: The objection is as to form -- as 18 to the form of your question. But if Investigator 19 20 Nixon is able to answer your question, he may. 21 MR. KAHN: Okay. 22 Can you say -- ask the question one more Α. 23 time? 24 BY MR. KAHN: 25 Sure. Let me see if I can rephrase it a Q.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 | little bit.

So if a police officer is found to have violated a serious rule that, say, requires suspension from four to ten days, and that -- the deputy chief does not discipline that officer within that range, is that something that would be a rule violation?

- A. Yeah. If it's -- if it's -- I'm speaking -- not that I've seen this, but I would say it would be.
- Q. Okay. Would you agree that the prohibition of unreasonable force must carry consequences to dissuade officers from using excessive force?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And would it be fair to say that without real consequences for using excessive force, police officers could use force with impunity?
  - A. I can see where that would happen, yes.
- Q. If an officer is accused of using excessive force numerous times, does that trigger a more in-depth investigation of subsequent allegations of excessive force?
- A. We have what's called a -- it's an alert system that's supposed to trigger -- I don't -- I don't -- I'm not involved with the alert system. Our file room is. But if an officer has repeated instances of use of force, there's a system in place

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

30(b)(6) Arthur Nixon

that's supposed to alert us that we have met -- you know, we might have to get them some help or is there something going on in his life, that sort of thing.

- Okay. And what's that -- what's that system O. called?
  - Α. Early warning. Early warning.
- And is that like a -- is the early warning Q. system -- is that like a computer program, or is it just someone who's monitoring the numbers and making a recommendation?
- I believe it's a computer program. Α. the -- once the information is entered into the system -- like, say, if this -- if an officer has ten instances of use of force -- hopefully the system would catch it before the tenth one. But by whatever the gauge is that we're supposed to catch it, once it's entered in as an official complaint, it's supposed to trigger the early warning.
- Q. And so -- and how does it -- I guess Okay. how do you receive the notification of an -- of an early warning? Like, how does that -- how does that come up in your investigations?
- I don't. When I get the file, it's -- I only -- I only have what is in front of me. And I don't know what their past is, what they -- like, if I

1	get a John Doe complaint and John Doe is accused of
2	use of force, if John Doe had ten use of forces in the
3	past, I won't know about it.
4	Q. Okay. I guess is is a history of the use
5	of excessive force something that you would find
6	relevant to your investigation?
7	A. It would put it would put some context
8	into it. But I would have to I would have to
9	investigate this particular investigation on its own
10	merits. But I can see where the past can add to it,
11	but I'm only to look at this particular investigation.
12	Q. Okay. That makes sense.
13	What role did you play in the investigation
14	of Donald Vickers' use of force?
15	A. I was the investigator.
16	Q. And so you interviewed Donald Vickers?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Do you have an independent recollection of
19	your interview with Defendant Vickers?
20	A. Yes, I do.
21	Q. Okay. So let me let me take a step back.
22	How many how many use-of-force
23	investigations are you generally performing in a given
24	year?
25	A. I'm on the officer-involved shooting team;

1	so that adds to it. You want a number?
2	Q. Sure. If you if you can.
3	A. Maybe 15. Maybe no more than about 20 a
4	year.
5	Q. And just speaking generally now, between
6	those 15 to 20 allegations of excessive force, how
7	many are generally going to be sustained?
8	A. Now, are you our officer-involved
9	invest or shootings they're police shootings,
10	but they are a use of force. The majority of those
11	are good shootings. But we do have some use-of-force
12	investigations where the officer is sustained. Like
13	the example I gave earlier with Officer Lancerot. I
14	would say about maybe 30 to 40 percent.
15	Q. Okay.
16	A. My use my use-of-force investigations I
17	would go a little bit higher than 20, but I would say
18	about 30 or 40 percent.
19	Q. Okay. All right. So we'll get back into
20	the specific investigation of Vickers.
21	When you were interviewing Donald Vickers,
22	did you doubt his truthfulness at all?
23	A. No. Well no. A lot of the truthfulness
24	in a it's their perception of what what they
25	perceive. Like, I can ask like, in my shootings,

riffin	vs. City of Atlanta 30(b)(6) Arthur Nixon 9/22/202
1	the officer may think one thing, but it's actually
2	something else. I would you know, I shot ten
3	times, but they really only shot twice.
4	So with Vickers, I didn't doubt he was I
5	didn't think he was lying.
6	Q. Okay. You seemed to hesitate a little bit.
7	Is there was there something strange about your
8	interview with Defendant Vickers?
9	A. No. No. I just had to go back I
10	watched so much body camera that the interview
11	where that was, like, secondary instead of body
12	camera. I watched the body camera a lot.
13	Q. Okay. And did Donald Vickers' story make
14	sense to you as a police officer?
15	MS. NAIR: Objection.
16	You may answer.
17	It's speculative but objection.
18	A. Yes, it did.
19	BY MR. KAHN:
20	Q. What did you do to prepare for your
21	interview of Donald Vickers?
22	A. Watched the body camera.
23	Q. And I think you may have answered this, but
24	did you review his disciplinary history before the
25	interview?

Α.	No.	Unh-unh.
Α.	INO.	UIIII — UIIII •

- Q. Did you speak with any of the other witnesses before interviewing Donald Vickers?
  - A. No.
- Q. And so when you're -- when you're studying the body cam footage, how much time would you say you're spending, like, looking at the video and, you know, watching it and rewatching it?
- A. That can be time-consuming, because you're rewinding. I've got software where I can put it in slow motion. And you don't want to miss -- you don't want to miss anything. So with the different -- I believe there were five or six videos. You just want to watch it so you can get a total picture of what happened.
- Q. Okay. And so of the five to six videos in this case, how many times would you say you watched each of those videos?
- A. Each video I'd say maybe no more than four.

  Because I would watch Vickers' and the -- Abad's video

  more so than the other videos, but I have seen the

  other videos.
- Q. Okay. In this -- in this case, which video do you think is the most important?
- A. Abad's.

1	Q. So I know you said that you hadn't looked at
2	Vickers' disciplinary history, but before interviewing
3	Vickers, were you aware of his disciplinary history?
4	A. No. When I when Vickers when I met
5	Vickers, that was my first time ever meeting him.
6	Q. As we sit here today, are you aware of his
7	disciplinary history?
8	A. I've heard that I guess there's he's got
9	some stuff going on.
10	Q. Does having knowledge of Vickers'
11	disciplinary history change your view of what you were
12	looking at of the body cam footage?
13	MS. NAIR: Objection.
14	A. No. And that's where I I'm kind of like
15	I'm glad I don't know the officer's history. That way
16	I don't go in with a preconceived opinion about
17	whatever the situation is. It was only until I
18	guess when all this started surfacing is when I
19	started hearing about he had a some things going on
20	in his history.
21	BY MR. KAHN:
22	Q. Okay. And when you say "some things going
23	on in his history," what do what does that mean to
24	you?
25	A. I think a use of some use-of-force
1	

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Si	+11	12 t	· • •	$\sim$	•

- As we sit here today, how many instances of Q. use-of-force allegations against Mr. Vickers are you aware of?
- It -- I think at least one, but, like I Α. said, I haven't seen it. But just the -- when this lawsuit came up and we were prepping for the lawsuit and the file room was -- let me know what was going on, his name came up, and I guess he had some things going on.
- Okay. Is it fair to say that prior Q. complaints of excessive force make it more likely that the officer being investigated used excessive force at that given time?
- There is a probable cause to believe that Α. you -- again, I like to investigate the -- whatever investigation I have on its own merits. But if there is prior allegations from citizens, then there may be something to it.

And we're seeing that with the officer-involved shootings that are happening across the country where officers that are being terminated are being -- like the situation in Clayton County. We're hearing that that officer had some prior complaints. So there are -- there is something to it.

1	There
2	Q. Okay.
3	A may be something to it.
4	Q. And what situation in Clayton County are you
5	referring to?
6	A. The officer-involved shooting. I'm sorry.
7	Not officer but the there was a man that got pulled
8	over in a Uber. Instead of focusing on the driver,
9	they focused on the passenger in the back seat. And
10	so that officer was terminated.
11	So after the termination, more people have
12	come out and said, yeah, this guy did this to me; this
13	guy did this to me; this guy did this to me. So
14	Q. Um-hum.
15	A when we see stuff like that, it can lead
16	to be when citizens make complaints on an officer
17	over and over and over, there may be something to it.
18	Q. Okay. And how many how many allegations
19	of excessive force does it take for APD to terminate
20	an officer?
21	A. That is that I'm not sure if there's
22	anything written, but that's definitely a deputy chief
23	or a command staff decision.
24	Q. Okay. And in terms of Matthew Abad's
25	investigation, did you also interview him?

30(b)(6) Arthur Nixon

1	A. I did.
2	Q. And do you also have an independent
3	recollection of Officer Abad?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Did you doubt his truthfulness at all during
6	the interview?
7	A. No.
8	Q. And his story also made sense to you?
9	A. Yes. What I recall, yes.
10	Q. And in terms of preparation for Abad's
11	invest or interview excuse me the same
12	preparation? Watching the body cam footage?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Okay. And I believe you said that the last
15	time you watched the footage was yesterday. Is
16	that is that right?
17	A. Correct.
18	Q. Okay. I'm going to share my screen and show
19	a clip of the video to you right now. If y'all would
20	give me just a moment.
21	Can you see can you see my screen on your
22	screen?
23	A. No, sir.
24	Q. How about now?
25	A. Yes, sir. I can see it.

```
1
                    All right. So I'm going to show this
         Ο.
               Okay.
 2
            If you have any trouble hearing it or seeing,
    just let me know, and we'll --
 3
 4
         Α.
               Yes, sir.
 5
               -- try to figure it out.
 6
         Α.
               Okay.
 7
               (Video plays.)
8
    BY MR. KAHN:
9
          O.
               Okay. Do you think there's anything wrong
    with the way that Defendant Abad acted in that video?
10
11
               MR. KAHN: And that video --
12
               MS. NAIR: Objection.
13
               MR. KAHN: For the -- for the record, that
14
    video is Plaintiff's Exhibit 1.2.
15
                          Objection again as to form and as
               MS. NAIR:
    to outside the scope of what Mr. -- Investigator Nixon
16
17
    is here to testify to. Anything that Investigator
18
    Nixon is testifying to would be his personal opinion,
    not the city's opinion.
19
20
               I would not have done that. The -- your
    client -- he brushed Abad's hand off of his shoulder.
21
    I mean, that wasn't enough to tackle him and knock him
22
23
           That's just -- that's just me.
24
    BY MR. KAHN:
25
               Okay. And we'll -- so we'll get to Vickers
         Q.
```

1	in a second. For now, I just want to focus on
2	Officer Abad.
3	So specific to Officer Abad, is there
4	anything that you think he did wrong in the in the
5	video?
6	MS. NAIR: Objection again as to form. This
7	is Investigator Nixon's personal opinion. He's not
8	called to testify as to the city's opinion.
9	A. If you're talking about having his weapon
10	out approaching the car, I'm assuming he had it out
11	because he figured he that since they almost got
12	hit on the other road a head-on collision that
13	he may have been trying to run them over.
14	But he I believe he holstered when he
15	went to the window and was speaking to him. It was
16	it was then when you know, where you saw Vickers
17	tackle him while they were conversing. So
18	BY MR. KAHN:
19	Q. Um-hum. Okay. Is there anything that you
20	think Defendant Abad should have done differently?
21	MS. NAIR: Same objection.
22	A. Not right offhand, because he was having a
23	conversation with him. It didn't get it didn't get
24	physical until Vickers came and tackled him. And I
25	believe Abad had holstered. Because he asked him,

1	"What are you doing?" And that's when your client
2	brushed his hand off. That's when Vickers tackled
3	him, and it just went it just went from there.
4	BY MR. KAHN:
5	Q. Okay. Was there anything wrong with Abad
6	pointing the gun at my client as he approached the
7	car?
8	A. No.
9	Q. Can you think from watching the video that
10	Officer Abad had the opportunity to stop Mr. Vickers
11	from tackling my client?
12	A. I don't know if he saw him coming. I might
13	be wrong, but I mean, we can see in the camera
14	we can see Vickers running towards Abad, but I don't
15	know if he saw him coming, because Abad was conversing
16	with your client.
17	Q. Okay. If Officer Abad didn't holster his
18	weapon while he was talking to Mr. Griffin, would that
19	be a problem?
20	A. If he still had the weapon out?
21	Q. Yes, sir.
22	A. It could be, because my focus would be on
23	getting him in custody, because you already have
24	the probable cause for arrest is the collision they

25

had on the street on Chattahoochee. You already have

30(b)(6) Arthur Nixon

1 a reason to arrest. So if he kept the gun out, yeah, 2 I would say, you know, my focus would be on getting 3 him in cuffs. 4 Okay. And about the collision on O. 5 Chattahoochee -- have you seen any dashcam footage or 6 body cam footage showing that near-collision? 7 Α. And I believe that's one of the things No. 8 they got written up for was the way they handled their body cameras. They were out of policy -- outside of 9 10 policy with their body cameras. 11 Q. Okay. And what is the -- I guess what's the 12 general policy about dashcam footage? 13 Dashcam or body cam? Α. 14 Q. Dashcam in the vehicle. 15 If the vehicle's equipped with dashcam, it's Α. 16 supposed to activate as soon -- well, it's supposed to 17 start recording as soon as you flip the blue lights 18 on --19 Q. Okay. So --20 -- if it's --Α. 21 O. -- it's not --22 -- working properly. Α. 23 I'm sorry. So it's --Q. 24 Α. No. 25 -- not something that would just Q.

1	automatically record. So, like, if their lights
2	weren't on and a car did almost hit them, it's not
3	something that would be captured. They would have to
4	activate a switch?
5	A. Correct. Yes.
6	Q. Okay. That makes sense.
7	MS. NAIR: I just want to pause for a moment
8	because we're about 15 minutes from the top of the
9	hour, and I just want to know about how much longer
10	you have for Investigator Nixon.
11	MR. KAHN: I think we might be able to
12	finish in 15 minutes. Maybe 30 minutes.
13	BY MR. KAHN:
14	Q. Okay. Now, in terms of Defendant Vickers
15	and the way he acted in Plaintiff's Exhibit 1.2, is
16	there anything wrong with the way he acted?
17	MS. NAIR: Objection in regards to form and
18	as to what Investigator Nixon is here to testify as
19	to. Anything that Investigator Nixon is testifying to
20	now will be his personal opinion.
21	MR. KAHN: And, Ms. Nair, I'm happy to give
22	you a standing objection to any question outside the
23	scope.
24	MS. NAIR: No. Thank you. I'll object each
25	time.

1 Can I hear the question --Α. 2 BY MR. KAHN: 3 Ο. You can answer unless you -- I can rephrase 4 it or restate it for you. 5 Do you think there's anything wrong with the 6 way Defendant Vickers acted in Plaintiff's 7 Exhibit 1.2? 8 Α. Yes. Do you think that Defendant Vickers was 9 10 justified in his use of force? 11 MS. NAIR: Objection as to form. Anything 12 that Investigator Nixon testifies to is his personal 13 opinion and not a reflection of the city's opinion. 14 Α. Again, I would have handled it differently. 15 I wouldn't have tackled somebody like that for a 16 swiping of the hand. So I would have handled -- I 17 would not have tackled. 18 BY MR. KAHN: 19 Q. Okay. And I want to -- I'm going to show 20 you another video clip that's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 4.2, if you'll give me just a 21 22 second to get it set up here. 23 Can you -- can you see the files on my 24 screen, sir? 25 Yes, sir, I can. Α.

```
1
               Thank you. Okay.
                                 All right.
          Ο.
 2
               (Video plays.)
 3
    BY MR. KAHN:
 4
               Mr. Nixon, do you think there's anything
          Ο.
 5
    wrong with the way Defendant Vickers acted in
    Plaintiff's Exhibit 4.2?
 6
 7
         Α.
               Yes.
 8
               MS. NAIR: Objection. Anything that
    Investigator Nixon testifies to is his personal
 9
10
    opinion, not a reflection of the city's opinion.
11
          Α.
               Yes.
    BY MR. KAHN:
12
13
               And what do you think he did wrong?
          Ο.
14
               MS. NAIR: Same objection.
15
               When a person is requesting aid in the way
         Α.
16
    that your client was, we are to start an ambulance --
17
    have an ambulance come and make that assessment.
18
    BY MR. KAHN:
19
               Do you think it's -- do you think the way
          Ο.
20
    that Defendant Vickers spoke to Mr. Griffin was
21
    appropriate?
22
         Α.
               No.
23
               All right. I want to show you a document
          Q.
24
    that's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 43. Can you
25
    see Plaintiff's Exhibit 43 on your screen?
```

30(b)(6) Arthur Nixon

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. All right. Have you seen this document
3	before? And I can scroll through it if you'd like to
4	see the entire document.
5	A. It's my I think it's the one I prepared.
6	I authored this.
7	Q. Okay. And does Plaintiff's Exhibit 43
8	contain summaries of your investigation?
9	A. Yes, it does.
10	Q. All right. So we'll look at page -28 of
11	this document. Do you see I'm going to go ahead
12	and highlight it. Do you see the highlighted text
13	that says, "SPO Vickers had to swerve the vehicle in
14	order to not be struck by the oncoming car"?
15	A. Correct.
16	Q. And, again, have you seen any video proof to
17	support Vickers' allegation?
18	A. No. Now, that what that is is
19	SPO Vickers that should be a summary of SPO
20	Vickers' statement.
21	Q. Oh, okay. So this
22	A. Yeah.
23	Q is this is
24	A. Because
25	Q. This does not contain your beliefs. This is

1 just a summary of his -- of his --2 Α. Correct. 3 -- statement? Ο. 4 Right. That's basically what he told me --Α. 5 told me. Yes. 6 Ο. Okay. And so the findings in Plaintiff's 7 Exhibit 43 are based entirely on Vickers and Abad's 8 interviews and I guess interviews of the other 9 witnesses. Is that -- is that right? 10 The findings actually -- if you go -- you Α. 11 scroll to the very bottom, this -- yeah. This --12 where it says, "This" --13 Ο. Right here? 14 -- "investigation finds" -- yeah. That Α. 15 right there are the facts. 16 And these are the facts as you had found 17 them to be --18 Α. Correct. Yes. 19 -- after your investigation? Okay. Q. 20 Correct. The other -- their statements are 21 more just what they said. If they said the sky was 22 gray, then it goes in because it's part of their 23 statement. But within "This investigation finds 24 that," that's where -- the facts. 25 I lost my place. If you'll give me Q. Sorry.

```
1
    just a second.
 2
              Not a problem.
         Α.
              MS. NAIR: Actually, this is a perfect time
 3
 4
    to take a pause. We -- I need a five-minute break.
 5
               MR. KAHN:
                          Okay. We can do that.
6
              MS. NAIR:
                          Investigator Nixon, if you can go
 7
    off camera and mute your microphone while we --
8
               THE WITNESS:
                             Okay.
               MS. NAIR: -- go on our five-minute break.
9
10
               THE WITNESS:
                             Okay.
11
              MS. NAIR: And we'll come back at 2 -- at
    3:00.
12
13
              MR. KAHN: All right.
14
              MR. BUTLER:
                            Before we go on break --
15
    Ms. Nair, this is Jeb Butler -- just let me remind
16
    everybody that Investigator Nixon is under oath.
17
    it would be inappropriate and a violation of the rule
18
    for anyone to speak with him about the deposition
    during the break.
19
20
              MS. NAIR: Thank you. Can -- thank you for
21
    that reminder.
22
              MR. BUTLER: All right.
23
               THE WITNESS: Understood.
24
               MS. NAIR: Can we -- can we go off of
25
    recording for just a half a second? Off the record.
```

30(b)(6) Arthur Nixon

```
1
               (OFF THE RECORD 2:54-3:00 P.M.)
 2
    BY MR. KAHN:
 3
          Ο.
               So let me -- I'm going to have up
 4
    Plaintiff's Exhibit 43. I'm going to reshare that
 5
    with you, if you'll give me just a second here.
 6
               MS. NAIR: The meeting is not being recorded
 7
    at this time.
 8
               MR. KAHN: Thank you, Ms. Nair.
 9
               Madam Court Reporter, would you mind --
10
    there we go. Thank you.
11
    BY MR. KAHN:
12
               All right. Now, Mr. Nixon, can you see
          Ο.
13
    Plaintiff's Exhibit 43 on your screen?
14
         Α.
               I do, yes.
15
               All right. Do you see the highlighted field
16
    at the bottom of the screen that says, "Once he was
17
    tackled, Mr. Griffin stopped resisting"?
18
         Α.
               Yes.
               I'll take this off.
19
          0.
20
               Now, we just -- we just watched the video of
21
    Mr. Griffin being tackled. Right?
22
         Α.
               Correct.
23
               Do you think Mr. Griffin was resisting
          Q.
24
    arrest in that video?
25
               It's -- I believe when he swiped the hand,
         Α.
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Officer Abad was attempting to get him in custody.

- Would you characterize -- I believe earlier Ο. you called it a brush.
  - Α. Right.
- Would you -- would you consider brushing off Ο. Officer Abad's hand to be resisting arrest?
- Α. There is -- there is instances of passive resistance where if I was to take somebody into custody and they just laid on the floor prone and not give me their arms and they just stiffen up, that's resisting also. Although it's passive resistance, it's still resistence.
- 0. Okay.
  - So when Abad grabbed his shoulder or his Α. shirt, it was to pull -- I believe it was to pull him away from the car to get him in custody. And when he pushed his hand to the side -- now, also I believe that was part of a statement that I wrote that as -if that was part of a statement, that's what was told to me. That was -- that was not in my investigative findings.
  - Q. Okay. Do you -- do you think that Defendant Abad was justified in his decision to grab Mr. Griffin's arm?
- 25 Α. Yes.

30(b)(6) Arthur Nixon

1	Q. Okay. All right. I'm going to reshare the
2	screen. This is still Plaintiff's Exhibit 43. Can
3	you see the highlighted quotation at the top that
4	says, "Yeah. Several minutes after he was in
5	handcuffs and we tried to get him up, he did complain
6	that, uh, his foot or his leg was hurt"?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. So is it fair to say that Defendant Vickers
9	and Abad knew that Mr. Griffin was injured after the
10	tackle?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. And isn't it true that when or isn't it
13	true that police officers are supposed to request
14	medical attention when a when a person is seriously
15	injured?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. And the police officers in this case did not
18	call an ambulance. Is that correct?
19	A. Correct.
20	Q. Now, do you see the there's another
21	highlighted field on the same page. It says, "Uh, we
22	were as courteous as possible."
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. And you just watched a video a few minutes
25	ago where Vickers told Griffin that he was acting like

30(b)(6) Arthur Nixon

```
1
    a little girl. Right?
 2
              Correct.
         Α.
 3
          O.
               Is that courteous behavior?
 4
         Α.
              No --
 5
               MS. NAIR: Objection.
 6
         Α.
               -- it's not.
 7
               MS. NAIR: Anything that Investigator Nixon
8
    tests to -- testifies to is his personal opinion, not
9
    the opinion of the city.
10
               But you may answer as to your personal
11
    opinion, Investigator Nixon.
12
               THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
               In my opinion, no, it was not. When he
13
         Α.
14
    said, "We were as courteous as possible," they had
15
    just had almost a head-on collision. I believe it was
16
    raining that night. So there was a lot of factors
17
    that had them -- I'm not saying -- I'm not justifying
    their behavior. But there was a lot of factors that
18
19
    lead them to say what they said, but I'm not
20
    justifying their actions.
    BY MR. KAHN:
21
22
               Okay. Were there any other APD employees
         Q.
23
    that played a role in the investigation?
24
         Α.
               In the investigation? No. If you're
25
    talking about the officers that responded after the
```

1 fact, I did not speak to them because they didn't 2 witness what Mr. -- your client -- his allegation as far as the breaking of his foot. They weren't there 3 4 when that happened. Okay. Do you know if the SUV that Vickers 5 6 and Abad were in had a dashcam? 7 Α. I don't believe it did. Our dashcams in 8 many of the cars are -- the technology in it is not 9 like our body cameras. And so with the vast majority 10 of them, they do not work. 11 In this instance, I want to -- I can't 12 remember for sure, but I want to -- I want to say that 13 I was told there was no video. 14 Q. Okay. 15 And if there was, I didn't get it. It was 16 not provided to me. 17 I'm going to -- I'm going to share my screen Ο. 18 with you again and watch a -- we're going to watch a few more videos. 19 20 Α. Yes. 21 Ο. All right. 22 (Video plays.) 23 BY MR. KAHN: 24 Ο. Do you think that --25 I have a question. I apologize. MS. NAIR:

```
1
    Is this video marked as an exhibit?
 2
               MR. KAHN: Yes. So this is Plaintiff's
 3
    Exhibit 4.1.
 4
               MS. NAIR: Okay. Can you please identify
5
    what the exhibits are when you're --
6
              MR. KAHN: Sure. Yeah.
 7
               MS. NAIR: Thank you.
8
    BY MR. KAHN:
               Mr. Nixon, do you see anything wrong with
9
          Ο.
10
    what Defendant Abad is doing in that video?
11
               MS. NAIR: Objection --
12
               Yes.
         Α.
13
               MS. NAIR: -- as to form. This would be
14
    Investigator Nixon's personal opinion, not the opinion
15
    of the city.
16
         Α.
               Yes.
17
    BY MR. KAHN:
18
         O.
               And what is -- what's wrong with what he's
    doing in the video?
19
20
              After your client screamed from pain and
21
    fell to the ground, he didn't render any type of aid
    and did not call for an ambulance.
22
23
               All right. I'm going to show you another
         0.
24
            It's marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3.3.
25
               (Video plays.)
```

30(b)(6) Arthur Nixon

```
1
    BY MR. KAHN:
 2
               Do you think there's anything wrong with the
 3
    way that the police officers are behaving in that
 4
    video?
 5
               MS. NAIR: Objection as to form.
 6
    opinions of Mr. Nixon are his own personal opinions
 7
    and not the opinions of the city.
 8
               You may answer if you have an opinion,
 9
    Investigator Nixon.
10
         Α.
               Yes.
11
    BY MR. KAHN:
12
               And what do you believe is wrong with the
          O.
13
    way that those police officers are --
14
               MS. NAIR: Same --
15
    BY MR. KAHN:
16
          Ο.
               -- behaving?
17
               MS. NAIR: Same objection.
18
               That they were pulling -- or pushing him
         Α.
19
    into the -- into the wagon.
20
    BY MR. KAHN:
21
          Ο.
               And what is this -- the vehicle that they
22
    were pushing him into? That doesn't appear to be an
23
    ambulance. What would that be called?
24
               It's a prisoner -- the official name is a
25
    prisoner transport vehicle, but we call it the wagon
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

like the ir	n the old days they used to call it the	9
paddy wagon.	So we call it the wagon. But the	
official name	of it is prisoner transport vehicle.	

30(b)(6) Arthur Nixon

Okay. Now I'm going to show you another Ο. video that's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 5.2. (Video plays.)

BY MR. KAHN:

- Mr. Nixon, is there anything -- and I'll Q. represent to you that the voice that you hear -- heard saying that he "damn near shot him" was Officer Abad. Is there anything wrong with Officer Abad saying that?
- If he's -- if he's talking about when Α. No. he was walking down the driveway and your client was driving up the driveway, he'd have to -- if he did shoot, he'd have to articulate a little bit more how he was in fear of his life and that sort of thing. Was it reasonable; was it necessary.

What Officer Abad is doing is -- I call that hype talk. You know, talking stuff to get the other officers -- you know, just talking stuff. But it's probably inappropriate to say now that we have these body cameras, and the body cameras are picking up a lot of personal conversations that are inappropriate in nature.

Okay. And would you -- you would consider Q.

30(b)(6) Arthur Nixon

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 that to be an inappropriate conversation?

If he -- if he -- like I said, if he did fire the bullets -- because he'd have to articulate that the car was coming at him, and he had no other choice but to get out the way.

So what he was telling the other officer was kind of out of text, because the elements were not there for him to discharge his weapon.

- Ο. Okay.
- Α. The --
- 11 So the --Q.
- 12 -- other officer --Α.
- 13 Ο. Oh, go ahead.
- 14 Α. Go ahead.
- 15 I didn't mean to cut you off, sir. O.
- 16 No, no. No problem. Α.

The other officer doesn't know that your client was -- you know, the vehicle was moving very slow or it might have been stuck. So that's why I said that Officer Abad saying that -- it doesn't match what really happened. So the conversation in itself may be deemed inappropriate regarding these body cameras, because the body cameras are subject to Open Records.

That makes sense. Q. Okay.

30(b)(6) Arthur Nixon

1	Now, had Officer Abad you know, given the
2	same video, that we have the same facts, had
3	Officer Abad fired into the vehicle and shot
4	Mr. Griffin, would that have been a proper shooting?
5	MS. NAIR: Objection. Speculative and
6	outside the context. This goes beyond the scope, and
7	it will require a separate investigation.
8	Investigator Nixon can't speak to a hypothetical
9	situation without more facts.
10	MR. KAHN: Well, if we can answer, he can
11	speak to it. Unless you're instructing him not to
12	answer, which I don't think there's any basis to do
13	that.
14	BY MR. KAHN:
15	Q. Mr. Nixon, if you can answer, you can.
16	A. If he was if he had discharged at the
17	time he had his weapon out, no, it would not have been
18	a valid use of force.
19	Q. All right. Now I want to show you a few
20	video clips a few more video clips about Donald
21	Vickers' body camera, if you'll give me just a second
22	here.
23	All right. The video I'm about to show is
24	Plaintiff's Exhibit 2.5.
25	(Video plays.)

30(b)(6) Arthur Nixon

Exhibit F 9/22/2020

1 BY MR. KAHN: Mr. Nixon, is there -- is there anything 2 wrong with what Mr. Vickers -- Officer Vickers did in 3 4 that video? 5 Yes. He turned his body camera off. 6 Ο. Are police officers allowed to turn their 7 body camera off to save battery life? 8 I have heard of officers doing that. Now, Α. 9 the camera he was using at that time was a -- we have new cameras now with a little bit longer battery life. 10 11 But per our SOP, our SOP, I believe, identifies where 12 we can turn our body camera off to extend the life. Okay. I'm going to show you another video 13 Ο. 14 marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3.1. 15 (Video plays.) 16 BY MR. KAHN: 17 Is there anything wrong with the way that Ο. 18 Officer Vickers is behaving in this video? 19 Α. Yeah. It's -- and that's the way the SOP is 20 written, I believe. Is that had something happened from the time he turned his camera off to the time he 21 22 turned his camera back on, his body camera would not 23 have caught -- captured it. 24 Ο. Okay. And we can see from the time stamp up

25

in the -- in the upper right corner -- it says

```
1
    8:12:43 -- or 8:12:50. I guess let me -- from -- at
 2
    the beginning it says 8:12:42 when the camera comes
 3
    back on.
               Sorry.
 4
               Do you see that up there?
 5
          Α.
               Yes, I do.
                           Yes.
 6
          Ο.
               And so when he turned the camera off at
 7
    8:11:01, that would mean that he turned the camera off
 8
    for less than two minutes.
                                  Right?
 9
          Α.
               Correct.
10
               And something important to your
          Q.
11
    investigation could have happened in that two minutes.
12
    Right?
13
               Correct. Yes, sir.
          Α.
14
               Do you have any idea why Officer Vickers
          Q.
15
    turned his --
16
               MS. NAIR: Objection.
17
    BY MR. KAHN:
18
               -- camera off --
          Ο.
19
               MS. NAIR:
                          I apologize. Finish --
20
               MR. KAHN:
                          You've got to let me --
21
               MS. NAIR:
                          -- your question --
22
               MR. KAHN: -- finish --
23
               MS. NAIR: -- Mr. Kahn.
24
    BY MR. KAHN:
25
               Do you have any idea why Officer Vickers
          Q.
```

```
1
    turned his camera off for just two minutes?
 2
              MS. NAIR: Objection --
 3
         Α.
              No.
 4
              MS. NAIR: -- as to form. That would be
5
    speculative. And Investigator Nixon is not called as
6
    a fact witness. He's called for his opinions for the
 7
    City of Atlanta, and we're going way beyond the scope
8
    at this point, Mr. Kahn.
    BY MR. KAHN:
9
10
              Mr. Nixon, if you have an answer, you're
         Q.
11
    free to answer.
12
               I don't -- I don't know why he turned it
         Α.
13
    off.
14
             Does it seem wrong to you that he turned
         Q.
15
    his --
16
               MS. NAIR: Objection --
17
    BY MR. KAHN:
18
         Q. -- camera off?
19
              MS. NAIR: -- as --
20
               MR. KAHN:
                          You've got to --
21
              MS. NAIR: Objection --
22
              MR. KAHN: -- let me finish --
23
                          -- as --
               MS. NAIR:
24
               MR. KAHN: -- my question before you object.
25
               MS. NAIR:
                          I apologize. Go ahead.
```

```
1
    BY MR. KAHN:
 2
               Does it seem wrong to you that
          Ο.
 3
    Officer Vickers turned his camera off?
 4
               MS. NAIR: Objection as to form.
                                                  Τf
 5
    Investigator Nixon can answer as to the speculative
6
    nature of your question --
 7
               MR. KAHN: You have --
8
               MS. NAIR: -- he may.
9
               MR. KAHN: -- to stop testifying for the
10
              These speaking objections are borderline
    witness.
11
    unethical. If you want to put your objection on the
12
    record, you feel free to do that, but you can't
13
    testify for this witness.
14
               MS. NAIR:
                          Speculation is not unethical,
15
    Mr. Kahn, and I'm not drawing speaking objections.
16
    It's a speculative question. And if Investigator
17
    Nixon can answer, he would be answering as to his
18
    personal opinion and not on behalf of the city.
19
               And, Investigator Nixon, if you are able to
20
    answer Mr. Kahn's question, you may.
21
         Α.
               Can you repeat the question?
22
    BY MR. KAHN:
23
         Ο.
               Sure. Does it seem wrong to you that
24
    Officer Vickers turned his camera off?
25
         Α.
               Per our SOP, yes.
```

1	Q. Does it seem suspicious to you that he
2	turned his camera off?
3	MS. NAIR: Objection. Same objection.
4	A. I don't know if I would use the word
5	"suspicious," but it just it's wrong.
6	BY MR. KAHN:
7	Q. Okay. I'm going to show Plaintiff's
8	Exhibit 5.2 again.
9	(Video plays.)
10	BY MR. KAHN:
11	Q. I'm sorry. I'm going to I'm going to
12	withdraw that question. I showed you the wrong video
13	clip. I apologize.
14	Approximately, how many instances of police
15	misconduct have you investigated during your time with
16	OPS?
17	A. Oh. I would have to say a lot. Now, when
18	you say "misconduct," are you talking about something
19	that may be criminal?
20	Q. I guess let I'll I can rephrase the
21	question to narrow it a little bit.
22	Approximately, how many complaints of
23	excessive force have you investigated during your time
24	at OPS?
25	A. Oh, wow. A lot. I've been here since 2012.

1	Q. So would you say too many instances of
2	excessive force to count?
3	A. Yes. Because, like I said, I'm on the
4	officer-involved shooting team, and those are
5	they're police shootings, but they're also
6	use-of-force instances also. So it's a lot.
7	Q. Okay. In your experience with OPS, have you
8	ever seen another police officer state on the record
9	that another police officer used excessive force?
10	A. No.
11	Q. Have you ever
12	A. Not
13	Q heard of I'm sorry.
14	A. No. I was just going to say not that I can
15	recall, no.
16	Q. Okay. Have you ever heard of a
17	department-wide practice where officers refuse to bear
18	witness against a fellow officer who is alleged to
19	have violated a citizen's rights?
20	A. A department-wide practice?
21	Q. Yes, sir.
22	A. No.
23	Q. Have you ever heard of a custom or, you
24	know, an informal practice where officers refuse to
25	testify against other officers alleged to have

1	committed another citizen's rights?
2	A. Yes. I have heard of that, yes.
3	Q. Is there a name for that practice?
4	A. Yeah. The I can't think of it right now,
5	but there is a name it does have a name.
6	Q. Have you ever heard of the phrase "the
7	police code of silence"?
8	A. The police code of silence? Not often as
9	the other. I can't think of the other one. But I've
10	heard of that one, but not as much as I've heard the
11	other one.
12	Q. Would the other phrase be "omerta"?
13	A. I've never heard of that one.
14	Q. Oh. Well, what does the phrase "code of
15	silence" mean to you in the context of police work?
16	A. That in the I'm going to speak on the
17	police forum, because I think every occupation has a
18	code of silence, if you want to use that terminology,
19	where you don't tell the misdeeds of another officer
20	while they were on duty. And, like I said, that can
21	go for every occupation.
22	Q. But that is a thing that exists in the City
23	of Atlanta Police Department?
24	A. That, I don't know. Because I've never
25	Time never had interaction to talk with someone about

1	that. When they come here, that they're we have a
2	truthfulness policy that you must tell the truth, and
3	if we catch them in a in not telling the truth,
4	then they'll need to tell the truth.
5	Q. Okay.
6	A. I have heard what you're speaking of, but I
7	just have not come in contact with it.
8	Q. Okay. Would you agree that police officers
9	who testify against other police officers are
10	ostracized?
11	A. I have not seen it, but I have heard it.
12	Not necessarily with this department, but I have seen
13	it I've heard about it with other departments.
14	Q. Have you ever heard of a police officer who
15	testifies against another police officer referred to
16	as a rat or a snitch?
17	MS. NAIR: I'm going to object at this
18	moment just because this line of questioning goes
19	beyond the scope. And Investigator Nixon is
20	testifying as to his personal knowledge, not answering
21	on behalf of the city's opinion.
22	But you may answer if you have personal
23	knowledge.
24	THE WITNESS: I don't have personal
25	knowledge. No, ma'am.

BY MR. KAHN:

Griffin vs. City of Atlanta

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Have you ever heard of APD officers deactivating their body cameras to make sure their stories line up before completing a report?
- I've heard of APD officers deactivating Α. their body cameras, but not for that purpose. When we see that they deactivate their cameras and we inquire, some people have told me that they were on the phone with their spouse; others -- they went to the bathroom, but not for the purpose of completing a report or anything like that.
- Do you think there's a department-wide O. problem with excessive force?
- Α. We have instances, but it's not -- it's not a epidemic or anything like that. We do have --I'm not sure what the stats are to compare us nationwide, but I don't think we have a real bad problem with it. We have our instances, but I don't believe it's a epidemic.
- All right. I'm going to share my screen with you. I'm going to show Plaintiff's Exhibit 500. Can you see Plaintiff's Exhibit 500 on your screen?
- 24 Α. Yes.
- 25 And this is an 11Alive article that was Q.

1 recently published. I want to ask you something about 2 Turn to page 4. Do you see -- do you see the 3 highlighted paragraph that says, "According to the 4 file Griggs said Howard gave him, there are currently 5 32 police officers facing criminal prosecution in Fulton County. At least 13 of those officers were 6 7 involved in seven fatal shooting cases, going back 8 four years"? 9 Α. Yes. 10 Q. Is that -- I read that correctly? 11 Yes. Now --Α. 12 Did --Ο. 13 -- I've seen that article also. And the Α. 14 "Griggs" is Gerald Griggs, and the 32 officers are --15 we don't know if they're all Atlanta police officers, 16 because it says Fulton County. So I'm assuming it's 17 East Point, Fulton County, all the counties that are in -- all the cities that are in Fulton County to add 18 19 up to that 32. 20 Okay. Would the -- does the fact that there 21 are 32 pending criminal cases against police officers 22 for excessive force indicate a potential systemic 23 problem to you? 24 MS. NAIR: Objection as to form. 25 Α. No --

1 MS. NAIR: You may ---- not in my opinion, only because the 2 3 30- -- there may be 32 officers, but these -- this is 4 going back however many years. Now, you say it's 32 officers in six months 5 6 or maybe one year, yes, possibly. But not knowing how -- 13 officers -- okay. 13 of the officers going 7 8 back four years. So it depends on the span that we're 9 talking about. Because I personally know of some of 10 our files that go back six years that he -- that's 11 sitting on his desk. 12 BY MR. KAHN: 13 0. Okay. And do you see the next paragraph 14 that says, quote, "'We've got a serious police 15 brutality problem in the city of Atlanta; we've got a 16 serious police brutality problem in the state of 17 Georgia and a serious police brutality problem in the Fulton County, 'Griggs said"? 18 19 Α. Yes.

- Do you agree that there's a serious police brutality problem in the city of Atlanta?
- Α. I would like to see where he got his --No. got -- to make that statement, I would have to see where he got his facts from.
  - How many instances of police brutality would Q.

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	it take for you to consider it a serious problem in
2	the City of Atlanta?
3	A. It depends on several factors. The scope,
4	the now, "brutality" is a broad word. Were they
5	beaten up? Were they shot? Were they you know,
6	whatever specifically happened to the person? It
7	would it would each one would have to be taken
8	in on its own merit.
9	And if we start seeing that, okay, we've had
10	one this week, one next week, one next week, okay, we
11	have to see, okay, what's what exactly is going on,
12	and is it all coming from one particular zone.
13	That would be another issue we'd look at.
14	If all of them are coming from Zone 1, okay, we've got
15	something going on in Zone 1. But if it's spread out
16	throughout the city, then it's nothing really that we
17	can identify.
18	Q. Okay. Does APD track allegations of
19	excessive force?
20	A. Yes. That would be in our file room. Did
21	you with each specific officer?
22	Q. I guess sort of just in general. Like,
23	if is there a report that details all allegations
24	of excessive force?
25	A. I'm sure there is, yes.

1	Q. Are you aware of an annual report that the
2	city generates called the use of force report that
3	does such?
4	A. No.
5	Q. Are you are you familiar with the Atlanta
6	Citizen Review Board?
7	A. I am.
8	Q. Do you often deal with the ACRB?
9	A. Whenever we have a whenever they intake
10	an investigation, there is also a corresponding
11	investigation on this side, and that's usually when I
12	interact with the ACRB.
13	Q. When the ACBR excuse me.
14	When the ACRB issues a finding of
15	successive of excessive force, what does that
16	generally mean to OPS?
17	A. That the criteria they use to come to that
18	decision whatever force the officer used, it
19	violate it was able to they were able to
20	substantiate a sustained violation of use of force.
21	Q. Is the are the standards used by ACRB
22	different than the City of Atlanta standards?
23	A. That, I don't know. I'm not sure what they
24	use as a as a to determine a sustain or not a
25	sustain or exoneration. I'm sure our SOPs have are

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in there somewhere, but I'm not sure.

- How does an ACRB finding -- or I guess sustained finding of excessive force impact OPS's investigation?
- I normally don't see their findings. matter of fact, since the pandemic hit, I haven't seen any files from the ACRB, I don't think. But in the past -- now, of course, everyone knows that the ACRB -- they are -- now have been given a lot of power different from how they were when this incident happened.

So if I'm -- if I'm correct, they could sustain an officer on use of force, but it was still up to the chief to make that recommendation if we disagreed with it.

- Um-hum. Okay. So then I --Ο.
- But that's different now.
- O. Sorry. I didn't mean -- I didn't mean to interrupt. If you --
- Α. No, no, no. But it's different now. that the ACRB has been given the authority that they've been given now, their findings are going to be taken into consideration along with our findings.
- O. Okay. So I guess are there times when the ACRB finds excessive force but then OPS does not find

30(b)(6) Arthur Nixon

1	excessive force?
2	A. I have heard. I have heard some cases, yes.
3	Q. Okay. Now, I think you verified the city's
4	interrogatory responses. Is that correct?
5	A. I think I did. Did I? You said "verified"?
6	Q. Yes, sir.
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Okay. And did you help provide the
9	information to the city for the responses?
10	A. Yes.
11	MR. KAHN: Let's take a quick five-minute
12	break. I think I'm pretty close to being done. We'll
13	reconvene at, say, 3:40.
14	THE WITNESS: Okay.
15	MR. KAHN: All right. Thank you.
16	(OFF THE RECORD 3:32-3:40 P.M.)
17	BY MR. KAHN:
18	Q. Mr. Nixon, I want to thank you again for
19	your time. I've just got a few more questions, and
20	then we'll let you get out of here.
21	A. Yes, sir.
22	Q. Just some really general background
23	questions. I kind of want to revisit your training
24	and education just very briefly, and then we'll let
25	you go.

1	A. Yes, sir.
2	Q. So you said that I think you said that
3	you had been an OPS investigator since 2006?
4	A. I've been an investigator since 2006, but
5	here
6	Q. Okay.
7	A at OPS since 2012.
8	Q. Okay. 2012. And so in the past eight years
9	at OPS, is it fair to say that you have investigated
10	hundreds, if not thousands, of cases of excessive
11	force?
12	A. I would say if I'm alone, maybe not
13	I wouldn't say hundreds. But a lot of times other
14	investigator I might assist with another
15	investigator on something, like, go and pull some
16	video off a wall or something like that.
17	So with that being said, maybe hundreds.
18	But myself, being assigned to me by myself, no, it's
19	not that much.
20	Q. Okay. And in terms of training, what sort
21	of training do you have that is relevant to, I guess,
22	analyzing use of force in real-world situations?
23	A. Yes, sir. Besides the training we did at
24	the academy on a yearly basis, I've also am a force
25	science analyst. And what force science is is where

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

30(b)(6) Arthur Nixon

the application of force is broken down into a science, basically, force science. And when I went to the certification course, they teach you how to look at a situation from every optic available.

For instance, if you're chasing someone and that person has a weapon in their hand and they turn around and start shooting at you and you return fire, striking them, the optics are that we shot them in the But he has a weapon in his hand, and he was shooting at you.

So another example would be -- there's a term called "looming." Looming -- let's say if I'm standing in the middle of a road and a car is coming at me at a high rate of speed -- now, if it's from a distance, the only thing we can see the car doing -the car is becoming larger as we see it coming towards us.

It's not as -- it's close that you think in your mind that, hey, I'm going to shoot this car. So by the time you pull out your weapon and fire, it's already -- it may already be passing you, but you made up your mind to shoot while it was still off in the distance.

And so when we shoot the side of a car, the optics are we shot it as it was going past us, but the

1	officer actually made up in their mind to shoot when
2	it was coming towards them.
3	Q. Okay. Yeah. That makes sense.
4	And so I'm you said the name was of
5	the certification was force science analyst?
6	A. Yeah. Well, no. Force science. That's
7	Q. Force science.
8	A. Yeah. F-o-r-c-e.
9	Q. Okay. And what sort of course did you have
10	to take to get that certification?
11	A. It was a five-day course. And what it
12	does it just helps us well, helps me to better
13	look at these use-of-force cases very narrowly. But
14	it's it doesn't change the decision-making.
15	I don't have I don't make decisions on
16	cases. The decision-makers are those that are above
17	me. So I can say something is bad all day long, but
18	it still can change as it goes up the chain of
19	command.
20	Q. Okay. So other than the force science
21	certification and the training at the academy, do you
22	have any other specialized training in the in the
23	area of excessive force?
24	A. No, sir. That would be it.
25	Q. Okay. Let's see. And what's your what's

30(b)(6) Arthur Nixon

1	your educational background, sir?
2	A. Yes. I have my bachelor's degree in
3	criminal justice, and I have my master's degree in
4	public administration.
5	Q. And where are your degrees from?
6	A. Saint Leo and Walden University. Saint Leo
7	would be my bachelor's, and Walden would be my
8	master's.
9	Q. Okay. All right. I have no further
10	questions. Thank you very much for your time.
11	A. Thank you very much.
12	Q. We really appreciate it.
	,
13	A. Thank you. Take care.
13	A. Thank you. Take care.  EXAMINATION
	<del>-</del>
14	EXAMINATION
14 15	EXAMINATION  BY MS. NAIR:
14 15 16	EXAMINATION  BY MS. NAIR:  Q. Investigator Nixon, I have a few questions
14 15 16 17	EXAMINATION  BY MS. NAIR:  Q. Investigator Nixon, I have a few questions  for you before we conclude.
14 15 16 17	EXAMINATION  BY MS. NAIR:  Q. Investigator Nixon, I have a few questions for you before we conclude.  A. Yes, ma'am.
14 15 16 17 18	EXAMINATION  BY MS. NAIR:  Q. Investigator Nixon, I have a few questions for you before we conclude.  A. Yes, ma'am.  Q. You are not required to review history of an
14 15 16 17 18 19	EXAMINATION  BY MS. NAIR:  Q. Investigator Nixon, I have a few questions for you before we conclude.  A. Yes, ma'am.  Q. You are not required to review history of an individual in order to competently complete your
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	EXAMINATION  BY MS. NAIR:  Q. Investigator Nixon, I have a few questions for you before we conclude.  A. Yes, ma'am.  Q. You are not required to review history of an individual in order to competently complete your investigation. Is that accurate?
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	EXAMINATION  BY MS. NAIR:  Q. Investigator Nixon, I have a few questions for you before we conclude.  A. Yes, ma'am.  Q. You are not required to review history of an individual in order to competently complete your investigation. Is that accurate?  MR. KAHN: Objection.

30(b)(6) Arthur Nixon

```
1
    BY MS. NAIR:
 2
               Are you required to review an individual's
          Ο.
 3
    history to competently complete your investigation?
 4
         Α.
               No, ma'am.
 5
               Are you tasked with recommending discipline
          Ο.
    regarding a particular investigation?
 6
 7
         Α.
               No, ma'am.
 8
               Have you ever been required or tasked with
          Q.
 9
    recommending discipline?
10
          Α.
               No, ma'am.
11
          Q.
               If you are called upon to give your personal
12
    opinion as to an investigation, is that informal
13
    opinion?
14
         Α.
               Yes, ma'am, it is.
15
               Okay. Now, you were -- you were called here
          Ο.
16
    today to testify in regard to the city as to
17
    Topics No. 1 and 11 only. Is that correct?
18
               MR. KAHN: Objection.
19
         Α.
               Yes.
20
               MR. KAHN: Leading.
21
    BY MS. NAIR:
22
          Q.
               I'm sorry. I didn't understand your answer.
23
         Α.
               Oh.
                    Yes.
24
               MR. KAHN: Objection.
                                       Leading.
25
```

30(b)(6) Arthur Nixon

```
1
    BY MS. NAIR:
 2
               And the other responses that you gave
 3
    today -- were those responses based on your personal
 4
    knowledge only?
 5
          Α.
               Yes, ma'am.
 6
          Ο.
               Now, you testified earlier in regards to how
 7
    you would handle or how you would have handled certain
 8
    instances for this incident. Is that correct?
 9
         Α.
               Yes, ma'am.
10
               MR. KAHN: Objection to form.
11
    BY MS. NAIR:
12
               Now, when you testified as to how you would
          O.
13
    have handled that, was that based on your personal
14
    experience as to how you would have handled it?
15
         Α.
               Yes, ma'am.
16
               Are there multiple ways in which an officer
17
    can handle an instance?
18
         Α.
               Yes, ma'am.
               MR. KAHN: Objection.
                                      Vague.
19
20
    BY MS. NAIR:
21
          Ο.
               Are there multiple ways in which the
22
    officers in this case could have handled the incident?
23
          Α.
               Yes, ma'am.
24
          Ο.
               And --
25
                          Objection.
               MR. KAHN:
                                       Vague.
```

30(b)(6) Arthur Nixon

```
1
    BY MS. NAIR:
 2
               -- in those multiple ways that an individual
 3
    could have handled the incident, does the SOPs provide
 4
    different options for handling certain types of
 5
    incidences?
 6
         Α.
               I believe so.
 7
          Q.
               And you were talking about a case or you
 8
    testified to a case, Graham, regarding the totality of
 9
    the circumstances. Do you recall responding to that
10
    question?
11
         Α.
               Yes, ma'am.
12
               MR. KAHN: Objection. Leading.
13
    BY MS. NAIR:
14
          Q.
               When you answered as to the totality of the
15
    circumstances, do you recall what circumstances are
    taken into consideration --
16
17
               MR. KAHN: Objection.
18
    BY MS. NAIR:
19
          Q.
               -- from --
20
               MR. KAHN: Vague.
21
    BY MS. NAIR:
22
          Q.
               -- Graham?
23
               The crime -- the crime that was committed.
24
    The crime the suspects is alleged to have committed.
25
               Do you know if there are any other
          Q.
```

```
circumstances that an officer can take into
 1
 2
    consideration?
 3
          Α.
                      There's two more, and I can't think
 4
    of them right now.
 5
               Okay. You testified earlier as to body
 6
    camera footage being extremely useful in
 7
     investigations.
 8
               Yes, ma'am.
         Α.
 9
               MR. KAHN: Objection.
                                       Leading.
10
               MS. NAIR: Mr. Kahn, I haven't asked the
11
    question.
12
               MR. KAHN: He answered your "not a
13
    question."
14
    BY MS. NAIR:
15
               Do you recall testifying earlier as to video
          Ο.
16
    cameras being helpful in investigations?
17
               Yes, ma'am.
          Α.
               Do you need a video camera or a body camera
18
          Ο.
    in order for you to make a finding of fact?
19
20
          Α.
               No. No, ma'am.
21
          Ο.
               So when you said that it is useful, what did
22
    you mean by that?
23
          Α.
               That it's -- instead of the totality of the
24
    circumstances weighing -- if the officer tells us one
25
    thing and the complainant tells us one thing, if we
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

30(b)(6) Arthur Nixon

have body camera, the body camera can pretty much
definitively say, yes, it happened or, no, it
happened, as opposed to not having body camera where
we don't have any proof. If the officer hit him,
we're looking for bruises on the person, any scars or
anything like that. And if the person doesn't have
anything to substantiate the use of force that the
officer may have done, then it's a not sustained, as
opposed to having a body camera that shows the officer
slapping a person or doing whatever and not leaving a
mark. So the body camera would sustain the officer
because we have that footage.
Q. And you testified earlier when shown a video
of Investiga or excuse me of Officer Vickers

turning off his body camera. Do you recall reviewing that video?

## Α. Yes, ma'am.

Do you recall if that footage or the time in Ο. which the footage was turned off -- do you recall if that happened before or after Officer Vickers made contact with the plaintiff in this case?

## Α. I believe that was after.

Q. And you were -- were you called to investigate the use of force for Investigator -- or for Officer Vickers?

1	A. Not necessarily the use of force, but the
2	whole investigation.
3	Q. Would that time frame have assisted you in
4	making a determination as to whether or not the use of
5	force was appropriate or not?
6	A. No. No. The use of force Vickers turned
7	his body camera on after that time frame.
8	Q. You testified earlier as to the amount of
9	cases that you handled regarding use of force. Is
10	that correct?
11	A. Yes, ma'am.
12	MR. KAHN: Objection. Leading.
13	BY MS. NAIR:
14	Q. And in your testimony you described the
15	different types of use of force. What are or is
16	there a wide range of use of force?
17	A. That a officer can do?
18	Q. Yes, sir.
19	A. Yes. There's if we use our utility belt,
20	we have pepper spray, ASP baton, the weapon, Taser,
21	physical, you know, hands-on. Those are just off the
22	top of my head.
23	Q. Is drawing or excuse me.
24	Is discharging your weapon also use of
25	force?

30(b)(6) Arthur Nixon

1	A. Yes, ma'am.
2	Q. Is discharging your weapon but not making
3	contact with someone use of force?
4	A. The taking out the holster?
5	Q. No. Discharging.
6	A. Oh. Discharging? Yes, ma'am.
7	Q. But not making contact with someone else
8	is that considered use of force as well?
9	A. I would say so just in a in a technical
10	way, even though we didn't hit the person. If the
11	person had any other type of situation going on, if
12	it can be. I would have to actually look that up, but
13	I believe so. But I may be wrong.
14	Q. And is physically grabbing someone
15	considered use of force as well?
16	A. Yes, ma'am.
17	Q. I just have one or two more questions.
18	A. No problem.
19	Q. You have a you draw you drew up a
20	investigative report for this case. Is that
21	A. Yes, ma'am. Yes, ma'am.
22	Q accurate?
23	MR. KAHN: Objection. Leading.
24	BY MS. NAIR:
25	Q. When you drew up the investigative report,

1	did you do so for both Invest for Officer Vickers		
2	and Officer Abad?		
3	A. Yes.		
4	Q. Were those separate investigative reports?		
5	A. No. It's all in that one file.		
6	Q. When you did your investigation, you		
7	inter did you interview both Vickers and Abad?		
8	A. Correct. Yes, ma'am.		
9	Q. And are you required to put in your report,		
10	your investigative report, whatever they tell you?		
11	A. A summarization and usually with the report		
12	will also be we audio-record the interviews. So		
13	whatever we don't put on paper, the file also will		
14	have the entire audio interview.		
15	Q. Okay. So you are required to put whatever		
16	they tell you in the investigation?		
17	A. A summary, yes, ma'am. Um-hum.		
18	MR. KAHN: Objection. Leading.		
19	BY MS. NAIR:		
20	Q. Now, when you include what they put in the		
21	investigative report, does that automatically mean		
22	that is your finding of fact?		
23	A. No. The finding of fact is say that		
24	again.		
25	Q. Does what the individual you're		

```
1
    investigating tell you automatically mean that you
 2
    make it a finding of fact?
 3
         Α.
               No, ma'am. It's only what I can --
 4
          O.
               I'm sorry.
 5
         Α.
               It's only --
 6
               MS. NAIR: Bless you, Mr. Kahn.
 7
         Α.
               It's only what I can prove. The finding of
 8
    fact is what I can prove. If they were at work that
 9
    day -- if I put in both Officer Vickers and Abad were
10
    at work on April the 5th, I can prove that.
11
    BY MS. NAIR:
12
               Okay. I don't believe I have any other
          O.
    questions for you. Thank you, Investigator Nixon.
13
                                                           Ι
14
    appreciate your time.
15
         Α.
               Thank you, ma'am.
16
               MR. KAHN:
                          No further questions from us.
17
                             Thank you, sir.
               THE WITNESS:
18
               MR. KAHN:
                          Thank you.
19
               (DEPOSITION CONCLUDED AT 3:57 P.M.)
20
               (Pursuant to Rule 30(e) of the Federal Rules
21
    of Civil Procedure and/or O.C.G.A. 9-11-30(e),
22
    signature of the witness has been waived.)
23
24
25
```

30(b)(6) Arthur Nixon

1	The following reporter and firm disclosures
	were presented at this proceeding for review by
2	counsel:
3	REPORTER DISCLOSURES
4	The following representations and
5	disclosures are made in compliance with Georgia Law, more specifically:
6	Article 10(B) of the Rules and Regulations of the Board of Court Reporting (disclosure forms) O.C.G.A. 9-11-28(c) (disqualification of
7	reporter for financial interest)  O.C.G.A. 15-14-37(a) and (b) (prohibitions
8	against contracts except on a case-by-case basis).  - I am a certified reporter in the state of Georgia.
9	- I am a subcontractor for Pope Reporting & Video I have been assigned to make a complete and
10	accurate record of these proceedings.  - I have no relationship of interest in the matter
11	on which I am about to report which would disqualify me from making a verbatim record or
12	maintaining my obligation of impartiality in compliance with the Code of Professional Ethics.
13	- I have no direct contract with any party in this action and my compensation is determined solely by
14	the terms of my subcontractor agreement.
15	FIRM DISCLOSURES
16	- Pope Reporting & Video was contacted to provide reporting services by the noticing or taking
17	attorney in this matter.  - There is no agreement in place that is prohibited
18	by O.C.G.A. 15-14-37(a) and (b). Any case-specific discounts are automatically applied
19	to all parties at such time as any party receives a discount.
20	- Transcripts: The transcript of this proceeding as produced will be a true, correct, and complete
21	record of the colloquies, questions, and answers as submitted by the certified court reporter.
22	- Exhibits: No changes will be made to the exhibits as submitted by the reporter, attorneys, or
23	witnesses.
24	- Password-Protected Access: Transcripts and exhibits relating to this proceeding will be
25	uploaded to a password-protected repository, to which all ordering parties will have access.

30(b)(6) Arthur Nixon

1	CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF GEORGIA:
3	COUNTY OF COBB:
4	I hereby certify that the total transcript,
5	pages 1 through 81, represent a true, complete, and
6	correct transcript of the proceedings taken down by me
7	in the case aforesaid (and exhibits admitted, if
8	applicable); that the foregoing transcript is a true
9	and correct record of the evidence given to the best
10	of my ability.
11	The above certification is expressly
12	withdrawn upon the disassembly or photocopying of the
13	foregoing transcript, unless said disassembly or
14	photocopying is done under the auspices of myself and
15	the signature and original seal is attached thereto.
16	I further certify that I am not a relative
17	or employee or attorney of any party, nor am I
18	financially interested in the outcome of the actions.
19	This 28th day of September, 2020.
20	
21	gennife-akicusMelse
22	Jennifer Davis-McLain, RMR, CRR, CRC, CCR-2496 Georgia Certified Court Reporter
23	deorgia derettied court Reporter
24	
25	

30(b)(6) Arthur Nixon

WORD INDEX	<b>30(b)(6</b> 1:1 3:19	<b>9-11-28</b> ( <b>c</b> 82:6	administering 4:15
	4:2	<b>9-11-30</b> (e 81:21	administration 72:4
< 0 >	<b>30(e</b> 81:20	<b>97</b> 7:10	<b>admission</b> 13:4, 18,
<b>000027-000032</b> 3:17	<b>30303</b> 2:11	<b>99</b> 3:18 10:21	20 14:1, 11
	<b>30324</b> 2:5	14:5	admitted 83:7
<1>	<b>32</b> 63:5, 14, 19, 21		admonishment
<b>1</b> 6:6 11:1 14:3, 4	64:3, 5	< A >	22:11
65:14, 15 73:17	<b>34</b> 3:9	<b>ABAD</b> 1:1 33:3	<b>affairs</b> 5:17
83:5	<b>39</b> 3: <i>14</i>	34:10 35:2, 3, 20,	aforesaid 83:7
<b>1.2</b> 3:9 34:14		25 36:5, 10, 14, 15,	<b>ago</b> 6:23 46:25
38:15 39:7	<4>	<i>17</i> 45: <i>1</i> , <i>14</i> , <i>23</i>	<b>agree</b> 17:9, 12, 16
1:20-cv-02514-TWT	<b>4</b> 63:2	46:9 48:6 49:10	24:9 61:8 64:20
1:1	<b>4.1</b> 3:13 49:3	51:10, 11, 18 52:20	agreement 4:4
<b>10</b> 2:4 3:18	<b>4.2</b> 3:14 39:21	53:1, 3 80:2, 7	82:14, 17
<b>10(B</b> 2:21 82:5	40:6	81:9	ahead 4:11 22:16
<b>11</b> 6:6 11:3 14:3,	<b>40</b> 3:16 27:14, 18	<b>Abad's</b> 29:20, 25	41:11 52:13, 14
4 73:17	<b>404.330.6402</b> 2: <i>12</i>	32:24 33:10 34:21	56:25
<b>11Alive</b> 62:25	<b>404.587.8423</b> 2:5	42:7 45:6	aid 40:15 49:21
<b>13</b> 63:6 64:7	<b>43</b> 3:16 40:24, 25	ability 8:7 83:10	<b>Air</b> 7:13, 14
<b>15</b> 27:3, 6 38:8, 12	41:7 42:7 44:4, 13	<b>able</b> 8:12 11:19	alert 24:21, 23
<b>1-5</b> 1: <i>1</i>	46:2	23:20 38:11 57:19	25:1
<b>15-14-37(a</b> 82:7, 18	<b>49</b> 3:12, 13	66:19	<b>ALISHA</b> 2:6 4:21
<b>1997</b> 7:9		absolutely 17:7	<b>allegation</b> 16: <i>14</i>
	<5>	abusive 9:7	19:17 41:17 48:2
<2>	5 3:4	<b>academy</b> 69:24	allegations 5:22, 25
<b>2</b> 43:11	<b>5.2</b> 3:15 51:5 58:8	71:2 <i>1</i>	10:14 24:19 27:6
<b>2.5</b> 3:10 53:24	<b>500</b> 3:20 62:21, 22	<b>ACBR</b> 66:13	31:3, 18 32:18
<b>2:01</b> 1: <i>1</i>	<b>5000</b> 2:11	Access 82:23, 25	65:18, 23
<b>2:54-3:00</b> 44: <i>1</i>	<b>51</b> 3: <i>15</i>	accurate 72:21	<b>alleged</b> 59:18, 25
<b>20</b> 27:3, 6, 17	<b>53</b> 3:10	79:22 82:10	75:24
<b>2006</b> 5:16 69:3, 4	<b>54</b> 3: <i>11</i>	<b>accused</b> 11:17	<b>alleging</b> 12: <i>13</i>
<b>2012</b> 58:25 69:7, 8	<b>55</b> 2:10	19:4 20:14 23:8, 9	allowed 54:6
<b>2019</b> 3: <i>16</i>	<b>5th</b> 81: <i>10</i>	24:17 26:1	altercation 6:18
<b>2020</b> 1: <i>1</i> 83: <i>19</i>		<b>ACRB</b> 66:8, 12, 14,	ambulance 40:16,
<b>22</b> 1: <i>1</i> 3: <i>16</i>	<6>	21 67:2, 7, 9, 21, 25	17 46:18 49:22
<b>2496</b> 1: <i>1</i>	<b>62</b> 3:20	acted 34:10 38:15,	50:23
<b>28</b> 41: <i>10</i>		16 39:6 40:5	amnair@atlantaga.g
<b>28th</b> 83: <i>19</i>	<7>	acting 46:25	ov 2:12
	<b>72</b> 3:4	<b>ACTION</b> 1:1	amount 78:8
<3>		82:13	<b>analyst</b> 69:25 71:5
<b>3.1</b> 3:11 54:14	<8>	actions 47:20	analyzing 69:22
<b>3.3</b> 3:12 49:24	<b>8:11:01</b> 55:7	83:18	and/or 81:21
<b>3:00</b> 43:12	<b>8:12:42</b> 55:2	activate 37:16	<b>annual</b> 66: <i>1</i>
<b>3:32-3:40</b> 68: <i>16</i>	<b>8:12:43</b> 55: <i>1</i>	38:4	<b>answer</b> 6:13 9:19
<b>3:40</b> 68: <i>13</i>	<b>8:12:50</b> 55: <i>1</i>	actual 16:2 20:25	18:10 23:14, 20
<b>3:57</b> 81: <i>19</i>	<b>81</b> 83:5	add 21:22 26:10	28:16 39:3 47:10
<b>30</b> 27:14, 18 38:12		63:18	50:8 53:10, 12, 15
64:3	<9>	adds 27:1	56:10, 11 57:5, 17,
			20 61:22 73:22

Exhibit F 9/22/2020

answered 28:23
75:14 76:12
answering 57:17
61:20
answers 10:7
82:21
<b>APD</b> 5:13, 23 6:24
7:2, 5, 10, 17 8:7
20:25 32:19 47:22
62:2, 5 65:18
<b>APD's</b> 16:6
apologize 48:25
55:19 56:25 58:13
appear 50:22
APPEARANCES
2:1
applicable 83:8
application 70:1
applied 82:18
<b>apply</b> 8:7, 12
16:24
appreciate 72:12
81:14
approached 36:6
approaching 35:10
appropriate 40:21
78:5
Approximately
58:14, 22
<b>April</b> 7:9 81:10
area 71:23
arm 45:24
arms 45:10
arrest 7:18 36:24
37:1 44:24 45:6
arrestee 20:10 arrive 19:18
<b>ARTHUR</b> 1:1 3:3
5:3, 10
Article 2:21 62:25
63:13 82:5
articulate 51:15
52:3
<b>asked</b> 9:21 11:6
35:25 76:10
<b>ASP</b> 78:20
assessment 40:17
assigned 69:18

30(0)(0)
82:9
assist 69:14
assisted 78:3
assuming 35:10
63:16
<b>ATLANTA</b> 1:1
2:5, 10, 11 3:19, 20
4:3, 5, 22 5:11
9:23 10:8, 15 15:9
56:7 60:23 63:15
64:15, 21 65:2
66:5, 22
Atlanta's 12:16
attached 83:15
attempt 17:17
attempting 45:1
attention 46:14
attorney 3:21
4:17 82:17 83:17
attorneys 16:11
82:22
audio 80:14
audio-record 80:12
auspices 83:14
authored 41:6
authority 67:21
automatically 38:1
80:21 81:1 82:18
available 70:4
<b>Avenue</b> 2:10
awarding 23:10
<b>aware</b> 30:3, 6
31: <i>4</i> 66: <i>1</i>
< B >
<b>bachelor's</b> 72:2, 7
<b>back</b> 12:2 26:21
27:19 28:9 32:9
43:11 54:22 55:3
63:7 64:4, 8, 10
70:9
background 68:22
72:1
<b>bad</b> 62:17 71:17
<b>based</b> 42:7 74:3,
13
basically 18:25
42.4 70.2

42:4 70:2

basis 19:15 53:12
69:24 82:8
Bates-labeled 15:22
bathroom 62:10
<b>baton</b> 78:20
battery 54:7, 10
bear 59:17
beaten 65:5
becoming 70:16
beg 14:21
<b>beginning</b> 55:2 <b>behalf</b> 2:2, 6 9:22
57:18 61:21
behaving 50:3, 16
54:18
behavior 47:3, 18
belief 17:20
beliefs 41:25
believe 8:20 22:25
25:11 29:13 31:15
33:14 35:14, 25
37:7 44:25 45:2,
15, 17 47:15 48:7
50:12 54:11, 20
62:19 75:6 77:22
79:13 81:12
<b>belt</b> 78:19
<b>best</b> 83:9
<b>better</b> 71:12
<b>Beyond</b> 9:17 53:6
56:7 61:19
<b>biggest</b> 19:24
<b>binding</b> 4:16 10:8
<b>bit</b> 13:23 24:1
27:17 28:6 51:15
54:10 58:21
<b>Bless</b> 81:6
blue 37:17
<b>Board</b> 2:21 66:6
82:6
<b>body</b> 14:16 15:3,
6 28:10, 11, 12, 22
29:6 30:12 33:12
37:6, 9, 10, 13 48:9
51:22 52:22, 23
53:21 54:5, 7, 12,
22 62:3, 6 76:5, 18
77:1, 3, 9, 11, 15
78:7

body-worn 11:16 19:20, 22 20:1, 2 borderline 57:10 bottom 42:11 44:16 branch 7:12 break 13:22 43:4, 9, 14, 19 68:12 breaking 48:3 briefly 10:19
68:24 broad 7:18 65:4 broken 70:1 bruises 77:5 brunt 23:5 brush 45:3 brushed 34:21 36:2
brushing 45:5 brutal 6:17 brutality 64:15, 16, 17, 21, 25 65:4 bullets 52:3 BUTLER 2:3, 4 4:20 43:14, 15, 22
<c> call 46:18 49:22 50:25 51:1, 2, 18 called 6:15, 18 24:21 25:5 35:8 45:3 50:23 56:5, 6 66:2 70:12 73:11, 15 77:23 cam 29:6 30:12</c>
33:12 37:6, 13  camera 11:16  14:16 15:3, 6  19:20, 22 20:1, 2  28:10, 12, 22 36:13  43:7 53:21 54:5, 7,  9, 12, 21, 22 55:2, 6,  7, 18 56:1, 18 57:3,  24 58:2 76:6, 18  77:1, 3, 9, 11, 15  78:7  cameras 37:9, 10  48:9 51:22 52:23

Exhibit F 9/22/2020

Giffili vs. City of Atlanta
54.10 (2.2 (.7
54:10 62:3, 6, 7
76:16
captain 12:8
captured 38:3
54:23
car 35:10 36:7
38:2 41:14 45:16
52:4 70:13, 15, 16,
19, 24
care 72:13
<b>career</b> 9:14
<b>carry</b> 24:10
cars 48:8
case 4:5 10:2, 5
12:11 13:16 14:17
15:9, 21 16:4, 22
29:17, 23 46:17
74:22 75:7, 8
77:21 79:20 83:7
case-by-case 82:8
cases 10:14 63:7,
21 68:2 69:10
71:13, 16 78:9
case-specific 82:18
catch 25:15, 16
61:3
caught 54:23
cause 31:15 36:24
CCR-2496 83:22
certain 22:20 74:7
75:4
certification 8:5
70:3 71:5, 10, 21
83:11
<b>Certified</b> 1:1 82:8,
21 83:22
<b>certify</b> 83:4, 16
<b>chain</b> 21:2, 7
71:18
<b>change</b> 30:11
71:14, 18
changes 82:22
characterize 45:2
charge 21:15
charges 11:16
charges 11:16 chasing 70:5
Chattahoochee
36:25 37:5
30.23 31.3
I

**chief** 21:3, 5, 8, 15, 17, 18, 25 22:18 24:4 32:22 67:14 **chiefs** 21:*14* choice 52:5 **chose** 9:25 10:4 circumstances 75:9, *15* 76:1, 24 **cities** 63:18 Citizen 66:6 **citizens** 31:18 32:16 **citizen's** 59:19 60:*1* **CITY** 1:1 2:10 3:18 4:3, 5, 21 5:11 9:23, 25 10:4, 8, 15 12:16 15:9, 21 16:12 47:9 49:15 50:7 56:7 57:18 60:22 64:15, 21 65:2, 16 66:2, 22 68:9 73:16 citv's 10:5 13:25 14:10, 14 34:19 35:8 39:13 40:10 61:21 68:3 **CIVIL** 1:*1* 4:7 81:2*1* **claims** 3:21 **Clayton** 31:23 32:4 **clearer** 15:19 **client** 34:21 36:1, 6, 11, 16 40:16 48:2 49:20 51:13 52:18 clip 33:19 39:20 58:13 **clips** 53:20 **close** 68:12 70:18 **closely** 21:10 **COA** 3:17 **COBB** 83:3 **code** 60:7, 8, 14, 18 82:12 collision 35:12 36:24 37:4 47:15 colloquies 82:21

come 8:19, 21, 22 23:6 25:22 32:12 40:17 43:11 61:1, 7 66:17 comes 11:18 12:2 22:7 55:2 **coming** 36:12, 15 52:4 65:12, 14 70:13, 16 71:2 **command** 21:3, 7 32:23 71:19 committed 60:1 75:23, 24 **compare** 62:*16* compensation 82:13 competently 72:20 73:3 complain 46:5 complainant 19:16, 21 76:25 complainant's 20:16 **complaint** 19:*16* 20:20 25:17 26:1 complaints 31:12. 25 32:16 58:22 complete 72:20 73:3 82:9, 20 83:5 completely 19:16 **completing** 62:4, 10 **compliance** 82:4, 12 component 20:24 **computer** 25:8, 11 **conclude** 20:*19* 72:17 **CONCLUDED** 81:19 conclusion 19:19 **CONDUCTED** 1:1 **CONFERENCE** 1:1 **Connor** 16:22 consequences 24:10, 14 consider 9:15 45:5 51:25 65:1

67:23 75:16 76:2 **considered** 79:8, *15* contact 61:7 77:21 79:3, 7 contacted 82:16 **contain** 41:8, 25 context 26:7 53:6 60:15 **contract** 82:*13* contracts 82:8 conversation 35:23 52:1, 21 conversations 11:8 51:23 conversing 35:17 36:15 **corner** 54:25 **correct** 11:*1* 14:6 17:11, 15 18:4, 5 33:17 38:5 41:15 42:2, 18, 20 44:22 46:18, 19 47:2 55:9, 13 67:12 68:4 72:23 73:17 74:8 78:10 80:8 82:20 83:6, 9 correction 7:9 Corrections 7:4, 8 correctly 63:10 corresponding 66:10 **COUNSEL** 2:1, 22 4:13 82:2 **count** 59:2 counties 63:17 **country** 31:22 **COUNTY** 1:*1* 3:20 31:23 32:4 63:6, 16, 17, 18 64:18 83:3 **course** 67:8 70:3 71:9, 11 **COURT** 1:*1* 2:21, 22 4:12, 15 5:2 14:21 44:9 82:6, 21 83:22 courteous 46:22 47:3, 14

consideration

Exhibit F 9/22/2020

0.000 2.20 01 020
Griffin vs. City of Atlanta
covers 19:8
<b>COVID</b> 4:9
<b>CRC</b> 1: <i>1</i> 83:22
crime 75:23, 24
criminal 3:21
6:15 7:18 58:19
63:5, 21 72:3
criteria 66:17
<b>CRR</b> 1: <i>1</i> 83:22
cuffs 37:3
currently 63:4
<b>custody</b> 36:23
45: <i>1</i> , <i>9</i> , <i>16</i>
<b>custom</b> 59:23
<b>cut</b> 52:15
.D.
<d> damn 51:10</d>
damn 51:10 dashcam 37:5, 12,
<b>uashcain</b> 57.5, 12,
13, 14, 15 48:6 dashcams 48:7
dated 3:16
Davis-McLain 1:1
83:22
day 71:17 81:9
83:19
days 24:4 51:1
deactivate 62:7
deactivating 62:3, 5
deal 66:8
<b>dealing</b> 13:15
December 7:10
decision 18:3

decision 18:3 32:23 45:23 66:18 decision-makers 71:16 decision-making 71:14 decisions 71:15 **deemed** 52:22 deescalate 17:17 **Defendant** 3:18 26:19 28:8 34:10 35:20 38:14 39:6, 9 40:5, 20 45:23 46:8 49:10 **Defendants** 1:1 2:6 4:24 5:1

definitely 17:18 32:22 definitively 77:2 **degree** 72:2, 3 degrees 72:5 **Department** 2:10 5:12 6:19 20:24 21:16 60:23 61:12 departments 61:13 department-wide 59:17, 20 62:12 **depends** 64:8 65:3 deposed 10:10, 12 **DEPOSITION** 1:1 3:18, 23 4:3, 4, 6, 9 11:11 14:19 15:20, 25 16:12 43:18 81:19 **deputy** 21:3, 8, 14, 15, 16 22:18 24:4 32:22 described 78:14 **DESCRIPTION** 3:8 designated 6:9 11:*1* designation 20:11 desk 11:23 21:12, 25 64:11 **details** 65:23 determination 78:4 determine 66:24 determined 82:13 determining 19:25 difference 19:9 **different** 21:14. 15 29:12 66:22 67:10, 17, 20 75:4 78:15 differently 35:20 39:14 **direct** 82:13 disagree 14:12, 13 disagreed 14:9 67:15 disassembly 83:12, 13 discharge 52:8

discharged 53:16

discharging 78:24 79:2, 5, 6 disciplinary 20:20 23:11 28:24 30:2, 3, 7, 11 discipline 21:1, 6 22:2, 9, 20 23:10 24:5 73:5, 9 disciplined 22:6 23:2 disclosure 2:22 82:6 disclosures 82:1, 3, 4, 15 **discount** 82:19 discounts 82:18 discovery 10:5 12:17 discretion 22:18 discretionary 22:24 discussion 14:24 disqualification 82:6 disqualify 82:11 dissuade 24:11 **distance** 70:15, 23 **DISTRICT** 1:1 **DIVISION** 1:1 21:4 document 10:20, 23 40:23 41:2, 4, 11 documents 13:7 15:8, *21* **DOE** 1:1 26:1, 2 **doing** 18:15 36:1 49:10, 19 51:18 54:8 70:15 77:10 **DONALD** 1:1 26:14, 16 27:21 28:13, 21 29:3 53:20 doubt 27:22 28:4 33:5 **Dozens** 3:20 draw 79:19 **drawing** 57:15 78:23

drew 79:19, 25 driver 32:8 driveway 51:13, 14 **driving** 51:14 **dulv** 5:4 duty 60:20 <E> earlier 27:13 45:2 74:6 76:5, 15

77:13 78:8

Early 25:6, 7, 18,

21 East 63:17 education 68:24 educational 72:1 **eight** 69:8 **either-or** 20:18 elements 52:7 employee 83:17 employees 16:12 47:22 employee's 21:2 enforcement 7:1, 7 entails 7:19 entered 14:22 25:12, 17 **entire** 16:3 41:4 80:14 entirely 42:7 entirety 13:11, 12 **epidemic** 62:15, 19 **equipped** 37:15 **ESOUIRE** 2:3, 6, 9 **Ethics** 82:12 eventually 21:11 everybody 43:16 evidence 83:9 **Exactly** 13:17 65:11 **Examination** 3:4 5:6 72:14 **EXAMINATIONS** 3:1 examined 5:4

**example** 6:4 8:24

**excessive** 5:23 6:1

8:18 9:7, 16 10:15

27:13 70:11

Case 1:20-cv-02514-VMC

16:15 24:11, 14, 17,	<b>fair</b> 8:6 17:19	<b>five-minute</b> 43:4, 9	<b>four</b> 10:13 24:4
20 26:5 27:6	24:13 31:11 46:8	68:11	29:19 63:8 64:8
31:12, 13 32:19	69:9	flip 37:17	frame 78:3, 7
58:23 59:2, 9	<b>fall</b> 21:16	floor 45:9	free 6:10 56:11
62:13 63:22 65:19,	falls 23:1, 11	<b>FO</b> 21:3	57:12
24 66:15 67:3, 25	familiar 16:9	focus 13:13 35:1	front 25:24
68:1 69:10 71:23	21:21 22:8 66:5	36:22 37:2	full 5:9
<b>Excluding</b> 11:8	far 15:10 18:17	<b>focused</b> 32:9	<b>Fulton</b> 63:6, 16, 17,
excuse 33:11	48:3	focusing 32:8	18 64:18
66:13 77:14 78:23	<b>fatal</b> 63:7	FOD 21:4	further 72:9
<b>EXHIBIT</b> 3:8, 9,	fear 51:16	following 82:1, 4	81:16 83:16
10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15,	Federal 4:6 81:20	<b>follows</b> 5:5	
16, 18, 20 10:21	feel 57:12	<b>foot</b> 46:6 48:3	<g></g>
14:5 34:14 38:15	fell 49:21	footage 14:16	gauge 25:16
39:7, 21 40:6, 24,	<b>fellow</b> 59:18	15:6 29:6 30:12	general 37:12
25 41:7 42:7 44:4,	<b>field</b> 9:16 21:4	33:12, 15 37:5, 6,	65:22 68:22
13 46:2 49:1, 3, 24	44:15 46:21	12 76:6 77:12, 18,	<b>generally</b> 16:9, 10,
51:5 53:24 54:14	<b>figure</b> 34:5	19	<i>15</i> 19:25 26:23
58:8 62:21, 22	<b>figured</b> 35:11	<b>force</b> 5:23 6:1	27:5, 7 66:16
<b>EXHIBITS</b> 3:7, 23	<b>FILE</b> 1:1 11:12,	7:13, 14, 25 8:18	generates 66:2
49:5 82:22, 24	<i>13</i> 15:24 16:2, 3	9:7, 12, 16 10:15	<b>Georgia</b> 1:1 2:5,
83:7	21:11 22:4 24:24	16:15 17:10, 13, 14,	11, 21 7:4, 8 64:17
exists 60:22	25:23 31:8 63:4	17, 20, 21 18:3	82:4, 8 83:2, 22
exonerate 20:8	65:20 80:5, 13	24:10, 11, 14, 15, 18,	<b>Gerald</b> 63:14
exonerated 12:5	<b>filed</b> 12:11	20, 25 25:14 26:2,	<b>getting</b> 36:23 37:2
18:24 19: <i>10</i>	<b>files</b> 39:23 64:10	5, 14 27:6, 10	<b>girl</b> 47:1
<b>Exoneration</b> 19:3	67:7	31:12, 13 32:19	<b>give</b> 6:4 10:8
20:3 66:25	financial 82:7	39:10 53:18 58:23	20:12 21:23 23:11
experience 7:2	financially 83:18	59:2, 9 62:13	33:20 38:21 39:21
59: <i>7</i> 74: <i>14</i>	<b>find</b> 11:25 26:5	63:22 65:19, 24	42:25 44:5 45:10
expressly 83:11	67:25	66:2, 15, 18, 20	53:21 73:11
<b>extend</b> 54:12	<b>finding</b> 66:14 67:2,	67:3, 13, 25 68:1	<b>given</b> 22:20 26:23
extremely 76:6	<i>3</i> 76:19 80:22, 23	69:11, 22, 24, 25	31: <i>14</i> 53: <i>1</i> 67:9,
	81:2, 7	70:1, 2 71:5, 6, 7,	21, 22 83:9
< F >	<b>findings</b> 42:6, 10	20, 23 77:7, 24	<b>gives</b> 21:24
fabricated 19:17	45:21 67:5, 22, 23	78:1, 5, 6, 9, 15, 16,	<b>glad</b> 30:15
<b>facet</b> 21:15	<b>finds</b> 42:14, 23	25 79:3, 8, 15	<b>glanced</b> 14:2 15:23
<b>facing</b> 63:5	67:25	<b>F-o-r-c-e</b> 71:8	<b>Glazier</b> 21:5, 18
<b>fact</b> 48:1 56:6	<b>finish</b> 38:12 55:19,	<b>forces</b> 26:2	Glazier's 21:25
63:20 67:6 76:19	22 56:22	<b>foregoing</b> 83:8, 13	<b>go</b> 4:11 22:16
80:22, 23 81:2, 8	finished 13:1	<b>form</b> 18:8 22:4	27:17 28:9 30:16
<b>factor</b> 19:24	<b>fire</b> 52:3 70:7, 20	23:13, 18, 19 34:15	41:11 42:10 43:6,
factors 47:16, 18	<b>fired</b> 53:3	35:6 38:17 39:11	9, 14, 24 44:10
65:3	Firm 2:4 82:1, 15	49:13 50:5 56:4	52:13, 14 56:25
facts 11:25 42:15,	first 5:4 7:6 12:5,	57:4 63:24 74:10	60:21 64:10 68:25
16, 24 53:2, 9	23 30:5	forms 82:6	69:15
64:24	<b>five</b> 29:13, 16	forum 60:17	goes 6:7 8:9
	five-day 71:11	<b>found</b> 6:1 23:9	21:25 42:22 53:6
		24:2 42:16	61:18 71:18
		21.2 12.10	01.10 / 1.10

going 10:19 19:25	handled 37:8	hundreds 69:10, 13,	62:14, 18 64:25
25:3 27:7 30:9, 19,	39:14, 16 74:7, 13,	17	74:8
22 31:8, 10 33:18	14, 22 75:3 78:9	hurt 46:6	instructed 7:24
34:1 39:19 41:11	handling 75:4	hype 51:19	8:2
44:3, 4 46:1 48:17,	hands-on 78:21	hypothetical 53:8	instructing 53:11
18 49:23 51:4	happen 24:16	hypothetical 33.0	instructor 8:4
54:13 56:7 58:7,	happened 6:22	<i></i>	instrumental 20:3
11 59:14 60:16	19:23 29:15 48:4	idea 55:14, 25	intake 66:9
61:17 62:20, 21	52:21 54:20 55:11	identifies 54:11	inter 80:7
63:7 64:4, 7 65:11,	65:6 67:11 77:2, 3,	identify 4:13 49:4	interact 66:12
15 67:22 70:19, 25	20	65:17	interaction 60:25
79:11	happening 31:21	identities 10:1	interest 82:7, 10
<b>good</b> 27:11	happy 38:21	III 2:3	interested 83:18
grab 45:23	head 78:22	<b>impact</b> 67:3	internal 5:17
grabbed 45:14	head-on 35:12	impartiality 82:12	interrogatories
grabbing 79:14	47:15	important 29:24	14:14
Graham 16:22	hear 39:1 51:9	55:10	interrogatory
75:8, 22	<b>heard</b> 30:8 51:9	impunity 24:15	13:10, 15 68:4
gray 42:22	54:8 59:13, 16, 23	inappropriate 9:8	interrupt 67:19
GRIFFIN 1:1	60:2, 6, 10, 13 61:6,	43:17 51:21, 23	interview 26:19
3:16 4:5 36:18	11, 13, 14 62:2, 5	52:1, 22	28:8, 10, 21, 25
40:20 44:17, 21, 23	68:2	incidences 75:5	32:25 33:6, 11
46:9, 25 53:4	hearing 30:19	<b>incident</b> 21:17	80:7, 14
Griffin's 45:24	31:24 34:2	67:10 74:8, 22	interviewed 26:16
<b>Griggs</b> 63:4, 14	<b>he'd</b> 51:14, 15	75:3	interviewing 27:21
64:18	52:3	include 80:20	29:3 30:2
<b>ground</b> 49:21	help 25:2 68:8	including 4:8	interviews 42:8
guess 7:17 9:6	<b>helpful</b> 76:16	independent 26:18	80:12
15:15, 18 25:19	<b>helps</b> 71:12	33:2	invest 27:9 33:11
26:4 30:8, 18 31:9	hesitate 28:6	independently 17:4	80:1
37:11 42:8 55:1	<b>hey</b> 70:19	in-depth 24:19	Investiga 77:14
58:20 65:22 67:2,	<b>high</b> 70:14	<b>INDEX</b> 3:1, 7	investigate 5:20, 22
24 69:21	<b>higher</b> 27:17	indicate 63:22	21:23 26:9 31:16
guideline 23:2	highlight 41:12	individual 72:20	77:24
<b>guidelines</b> 22:19, 23	highlighted 41:12	75:2 80:25	investigated 5:25
guilty 18:25 19:7	44:15 46:3, 21	individual's 73:2	21:10 31:13 58:15,
gun 36:6 37:1	63:3	informal 59:24	23 69:9
<b>guy</b> 32:12, 13	hired 7:10	73:12	investigating 9:4
***	history 26:4 28:24	information 25:12	16:14 81:1
<h>&gt;</h>	30:2, 3, 7, 11, 15, 20,	68:9	investigation 3:21
half 5:14 6:23	23 72:19 73:3	infractions 22:21	8:9, 23 17:2 18:21
43:25	hit 35:12 38:2	injured 46:9, 15	20:19 24:19 26:6,
hand 34:21 36:2	67:6 77:4 79:10	inquire 62:7	9, 11, 13 27:20
39:16 44:25 45:6,	holster 36:17 79:4	instance 6:14	31:17 32:25 41:8
17 70:6, 9	holstered 35:14, 25	48:11 70:5 74:17	42:14, 19, 23 47:23,
handcuffs 46:5 handle 74:7, 17	hopefully 25:14 hour 38:9	instances 24:25 25:14 31:2 45:7	24 53:7 55:11 66:10, 11 67:4
Hallule /4./, 1/	Howard 63:4	58:14 59:1, 6	72:21 73:3, 6, 12
	110wa1u 03.4	30.14 37.1,0	
			78:2 80:6, 16

Case 1:20-cv-02514-VMC

investigations	9:18 15:1 17:24	Lancerot 6:21	looks 14:22
25:22 26:23 27:12,	18:9 23:16, 21, 24	27:13	<b>looming</b> 70:12
16 76:7, 16	28:19 30:21 34:8,	land 21:12	lost 42:25
Investigative 3:16	11, 13, 24 35:18	language 9:8	<b>lot</b> 13:9 27:23
16:2, 3 45:20	36:4 38:11, 13, 21	<b>larger</b> 70:16	28:12 47:16, 18
79:20, 25 80:4, 10,	39:2, 18 40:3, 12,	<b>Law</b> 2:4, 10 7:1, 7	51:23 58:17, 25
21	18 43:5, 13 44:2, 8,	16:22 18:17 82:4	59:6 67:9 69:13
investigator 5:11,	11 47:21 48:23	lawsuit 12:10 31:7	lying 28:5
15 6:5 8:7, 11	49:2, 6, 8, 17 50:1,	lawyers 11:9	. 3
23:14, 19 26:15	11, 15, 20 51:7	lead 32:15 47:19	< M >
34:16, 17 35:7	53:10, 14 54:1, 16	Leading 72:24	ma'am 47:12
38:10, 18, 19 39:12	55:17, 20, 22, 23, 24	73:20, 24 75:12	61:25 72:18, 23
40:9 43:6, 16 47:7,	56:8, 9, 17, 20, 22,	76:9 78:12 79:23	73:4, 7, 10, 14 74:5,
11 49:14 50:9	24 57:1, 7, 9, 15, 22	80:18	9, 15, 18, 23 75:11
53:8 56:5 57:5, 16,	58:6, 10 62:1	leaving 77:10	76:8, 17, 20 77:17
19 61:19 69:3, 4,	64:12 68:11, 15, 17	leg 46:6	78:11 79:1, 6, 16,
14, 15 72:16 77:24	72:22, 24 73:18, 20,	Lenox 2:4	21 80:8, 17 81:3,
81:13	24 74:10, 19, 25	Leo 72:6	15
involved 11:20	75:12, 17, 20 76:9,	lesser 23:6	<b>Madam</b> 44:9
14:17 24:23 63:7	10, 12 78:12 79:23	level 20:23	maintaining 82:12
involving 10:14	80:18 81:6, 16, 18	License 1:1	major 21:24
issue 6:16 65:13	<b>Kahn's</b> 57:20	Lieutenant 12:7, 9	majority 27:10
issues 66:14	kept 37:1	21:24	48:9
items 15:11	kind 30:14 52:7	life 25:3 51:16	making 25:9 78:4
its 26:9 31:17	68:23	54:7, 10, 12	79:2, 7 82:11
65:8	knew 46:9	lights 37:17 38:1	man 32:7
03.0	knock 34:22	line 61:18 62:4	mandatory 22:24,
<j></j>	know 6:9 18:17	list 11:5 21:4	25
JACQUITA 2:9	20:24 22:3, 5	little 13:22 15:19	MARIE 2:6 4:21
4:25	23:15 25:2, 25	24:1 27:17 28:6	mark 77:11
<b>JAMES</b> 2:3	26:3 28:2 29:8	47:1 51:15 54:10	marked 3:23
japarks@atlantaga.	30:1, 15 31:8 34:3	58:21	39:20 40:24 49:1,
gov 2:13	35:16 36:12, 15	located 1:1	24 51:5 54:14
<b>Jeb</b> 4:20 43:15	37:2 38:9 48:5	long 5:13, 15	master's 72:3, 8
JCD 4.20 43.13	51:19, 20 52:17, 18	71:17	match 52:20
jeb@butlerfirm.com	53:1 56:12 58:4	longer 6:19 38:9	Matt 4:18
2:6	59:24 60:24 63:15	54:10	matt@butlerfirm.co
<b>Jennifer</b> 1:1 83:22	64:9 65:5 66:23	look 13:19, 24	m 2:6
job 5:9	75:25 78:21	14:3 15:20 16:24	matter 67:6 82:10,
<b>JOHN</b> 1:1 26:1, 2	knowing 18:17	18:11, 13 19:22	17
justice 72:3	64:6	22:1 26:11 41:10	<b>MATTHEW</b> 1:1
justified 39:10	knowledge 6:11	65:13 70:3 71:13	2:3 32:24
45:23	30:10 61:20, 23, 25	79:12	mean 18:7 19:14
justify 17:21	74:4	looked 15:24 17:3	21:8 30:23 34:22
justifying 47:17, 20	knows 67:8	30:1	36:13 52:15 55:7
Justinying 47.17, 20	MIUWS U/.O	looking 9:2, 5	60:15 66:16 67:18
< K >	<l></l>	16:15 18:14 29:7	76:22 80:21 81:1
	<b>L</b>		means 19:6
<b>KAHN</b> 2:3 3:4		30:12 77:5	
4:2, 18 5:7 6:8, 12	laid 45:9		<b>medical</b> 46:14

Exhibit F 9/22/2020

meeting 14:23
30:5 44:6
merit 65:8
merits 26:10 31:17
met 25:1 30:4
metro 3:20
microphone 43:7
<b>middle</b> 70:13
military 7:3, 4, 11,
12
<b>MILLER</b> 2:9 4:23
<b>mind</b> 44:9 70:19,
22 71: <i>1</i>
minutes 38:8, 12
46:4, 24 55:8, 11
56: <i>1</i>
misconduct 5:20
18:2 <i>1</i> 58:15, 18
misdeeds 60:19
<b>moment</b> 33:20
38:7 61: <i>18</i>
money 20:14, 15
monitoring 25:9
<b>months</b> 64:5
motion 29:11
<b>moving</b> 52:18
<b>multiple</b> 74:16, 21
75:2
<b>mute</b> 43:7
< N >

**NAIR** 2:6 3:4 4:21 6:5 9:17 17:23 18:8 23:13, *18* 28:*15* 30:*13* 34:12, 15 35:6, 21 38:7, 17, 21, 24 39:11 40:8, 14 43:3, 6, 9, 11, 15, 20, 24 44:6, 8 47:5, 7 48:25 49:4, 7, 11, 13 50:5, 14, 17 53:5 55:16, 19, 21, 23 56:2, 4, 16, 19, 21, 23, 25 57:4, 8, 14 58:3 61:17 63:24 64:1 72:15 73:1, 21 74:1, 11, 20 75:1, 13, 18, 21

76:10, 14 78:13 79:24 80:19 81:6, 11 name 5:9, 10 6:20 31:9 50:24 51:3 60:3, 5 71:4 narrow 58:21 **narrowly** 71:*13* nationwide 62:17 nature 51:24 57:6 **NE** 2:4 near 51:10 near-collision 37:6 necessa 17:7 necessarily 9:2 61:12 78:1 necessary 16:16, 18, 25 17:5, 7, 10, 20 51:17 **need** 20:7 43:4 61:4 76:18 never 19:23 60:13, 24, 25 new 54:10 **night** 47:16 **NIXON** 1:1 3:3 5:3, 8, 10 6:6, 13 23:14, 20 34:16, 18 38:10, 18, 19 39:12 40:4, 9 43:6, 16 44:12 47:7, 11 49:9 50:6, 9 51:8 53:8, 15 54:2 56:5, 10 57:5, 17, 19 61:19 68:18 72:16 81:13 Nixon's 35:7 49:14 normally 67:5 NORTHERN 1:1 nose 19:22 **Notice** 3:18 4:4 noticing 4:17 82:16 notification 25:20 November 3:16 **number** 27:1 numbers 25:9

numerous 24:18

**N-word** 9:3, 4 <O> **O.C.G.A** 81:21 82:6, 7, 18 oath 4:16 43:16 **object** 38:24 56:24 61:17 objection 4:15, 19, 22, 24 6:5 9:17 17:23 18:8 23:13, 17, 18 28:15, 17 30:13 34:12, 15 35:6, 21 38:17, 22 39:11 40:8, 14 47:5 49:11 50:5, 17 53:5 55:16 56:2, 16, 21 57:4, 11 58:3 63:24 72:22 73:18, 24 74:10, 19, 25 75:12, 17 76:9 78:12 79:23 80:18 objections 5:1 57:10, 15 **objective** 18:4, 6, 12 obligation 82:12 occupation 60:17, 21 **offhand** 35:22 officer 4:15 6:14, 19 7:3, 4, 10, 20 8:17, 25 9:7, 11, 14, 15 10:16 11:17 16:17, 18, 25 17:6 19:21 20:14, 15 21:10 22:6 24:2, 5, 17, 24 25:13 27:12, *13* 28:1, *14* 31:*13*, 24 32:7, 10, 16, 20 33:3 35:2, 3 36:10, *17* 45:1, 6 51:10, 11, 18 52:6, 12, 17, 20 53:1, 3 54:3, 18 55:14, 25 57:3, 24 59:8, 9, 18 60:19 61:14, 15 65:21 66:18 67:13 71:1 74:16 76:1, 24

77:4, 8, 9, 11, 14, 20, 25 78:17 80:1, 2 81:9 officer-involved 10:17 26:25 27:8 31:21 32:6 59:4 **officers** 3:20 5:23 7:25 8:3 11:19 14:17 17:10, 13, 16 24:11, 15 31:22 46:13, 17 47:25 50:3, 13 51:20 54:6, 8 59:17, 24, 25 61:8, 9 62:2, 5 63:5, 6, 14, 15, 21 64:3, 5, 7 74:22 officer's 6:20 17:19 20:10 30:15 official 9:11 25:17 50:24 51:3 Off-the-record 14:24 **Oh** 12:25 13:2 41:21 52:13 58:17, 25 60:14 73:23 79:6 **old** 51:*1* **omerta** 60:*12* Once 20:19 25:11, 12, 16 44:16 **oncoming** 41:*14* ones 12:19 13:13 **Open** 52:23 **operating** 8:8, 13 16:7 operation 21:4 **opinion** 30:16 34:18, 19 35:7, 8 38:20 39:13 40:10 47:8, 9, 11, 13 49:14 50:8 57:18 61:21 64:2 73:12, 13 opinions 22:4 50:6, 7 56:6 opportunity 36:10 **opposed** 77:3, 9 **OPS** 5:18 8:7, 11 58:16, 24 59:7

30(b)(6) Arthur Nixon

Exhibit F 9/22/2020

	30(0)(0)
66:16 67:25 69:3,	<b>pending</b> 63:21
7,9	people 32:11 62:8
<b>OPS's</b> 67:3	pepper 78:20
optic 70:4	perceive 27:25
optics 70:8, 25	percent 27:14, 18
options 75:4	perception 27:24
oral 22:10	perfect 18:19 43:3
order 41:14 72:20	performing 26:23
76:19	permitted 4:7
ordering 82:25	<b>person</b> 18: <i>15</i>
original 83:15	23:10 40:15 46:14
ostracized 61:10	65:6 70:6 77:5, 6,
outcome 19:25	10 79:10, 11
23:11 83:18	personal 6:10
<b>outcomes</b> 18:20, 22	22:4 34:18 35:7
<b>outside</b> 6:7 18:12	38:20 39:12 40:9
34:16 37:9 38:22	47:8, <i>10</i> 49: <i>14</i>
53:6	50:6 51:23 57:18
_	61:20, 22, 24 73:11
<p></p>	74:3, 13
<b>p.m</b> 1:1 44:1	personally 64:9
68:16 81:19	phone 62:8
paddy 51:2	photocopying
<b>PAGE</b> 3:2, 8	83:12, 14
41:10 46:21 63:2	phrase 60:6, 12, 14
pages 83:5	physical 6:17
pain 49:20	15:20 35:24 78:21
<b>pandemic</b> 4:10 67:6	physically 79:14
	picking 51:22
<b>paper</b> 80: <i>13</i> <b>paperwork</b> 13: <i>9</i>	<b>picture</b> 29: <i>14</i> <b>place</b> 24: <i>25</i> 42: <i>25</i>
paragraph 63:3	82: <i>17</i>
64:13	<b>Plaintiff</b> 1:1 2:2
pardon 14:21	4:19, 20 12:12
PARKS 2:9 4:25	77:21
part 5:17 42:22	Plaintiff's 3:18
45:18, 19	10:21 12:22, 23
particular 26:9, 11	13:25 14:5, 10, 14
65:12 73:6	15:16 34:14 38:15
parties 82:19, 25	39:6, 21 40:6, 24,
party 82:13, 19	25 41:7 42:6 44:4
83:17	13 46:2 49:2, 24
passenger 32:9	51:5 53:24 54:14
passing 70:21	58:7 62:21, 22
passive 45:7, 11	<b>play</b> 26:13
Password-Protected	<b>played</b> 47:23
82:23, 24	<b>plays</b> 34:7 40:2
nouse 20.7 12.1	18.22 10.25 51.6

please 4:13, 17 5:8 49:4 **point** 56:8 63:17 Pointe 2:4 pointing 36:6 **police** 3:20 5:10, 11, 20 7:3, 16, 20, 25 8:2 9:14 10:16 17:9, 13, 16 18:21 20:9 22:5 24:2, 14 27:9 28:14 46:13, 17 50:3, 13 54:6 58:14 59:5, 8, 9 60:7, 8, 15, 17, 23 61:8, 9, 14, 15 63:5, 15, 21 64:14, 16, 17, 20, 25 **policies** 18:*18* **policy** 37:9, 10, 12 61:2 **Pope** 82:9, 16 possible 46:22 47:14 possibly 64:6 potential 63:22 **power** 67:9 **practice** 59:17, 20, 24 60:3 preconceived 30:16 predating 7:2 preparation 15:25 33:10, 12 **prepare** 11:10 14:17 16:7, 8, 12 28:20 prepared 41:5 preparing 15:19 prepping 31:7 present 2:22 presented 82:1 pretty 20:6 68:12 77:1 **prior** 3:23 7:5, 11 31:11, 18, 24 prisoner 50:24, 25 51:3 **probable** 31:*15* 36:24 **probably** 51:21

**problem** 22:17 36:19 43:2 52:16 62:13, 18 63:23 64:15, 16, 17, 21 65:1 79:18 **Procedure** 4:7 7:19 81:21 procedures 7:18 8:8, 13 16:7 proceeding 2:23 9:11 82:1, 20, 24 proceedings 82:10 83:6 **produced** 15:9, 21 16:4 82:20 production 12:22, 24 13:7 15:10, 17 **Professional** 82:12 **program** 25:8, 11 prohibited 82:17 prohibition 24:9 prohibitions 82:7 **prone** 45:9 **proof** 19:3 20:6, 7, *11, 17* 41:*16* 77:*4* **proper** 53:4 properly 37:22 **prosecution** 63:5 **prove** 19:1, 7 81:7, 8, 10 **provide** 68:8 75:3 82:16 **provided** 48:*16* **public** 72:4 **published** 63:1 **pull** 45:15 69:15 70:20 **pulled** 32:7 **pulling** 50:18 **punched** 19:21 punishment 11:17, 20, 22 **purpose** 62:6, 10 purposes 4:7 Pursuant 2:21 3:19 4:3, 6 81:20 **pushed** 45:17 pushing 50:18, 22

pause 38:7 43:4

48:22 49:25 51:6

53:25 54:15 58:9

**put** 26:7 29:10 57:11 80:9, 13, 15, 20 81:9

<Q>question 7:18
23:19, 20, 22 38:22
39:1 48:25 55:21
56:24 57:6, 16, 20,
21 58:12, 21 75:10
76:11, 13
questioning 61:18
questions 6:10
19:8 68:19, 23
72:10, 16 79:17
81:13, 16 82:21
quick 68:11
quotation 46:3
quote 64:14

< R > **raining** 47:16 range 23:1, 12 24:5 78:16 rat 61:16 rate 70:14 **read** 13:10 63:10 real 24:14 62:17 realize 12:25 really 28:3 52:21 65:16 68:22 72:12 **real-world** 8:8, 13 69:22 **reason** 37:1 reasonable 16:17, 25 17:5, 13 18:4 51:17 reasonableness 16:16, 21 17:4 recall 6:22 9:9, 20 33:9 59:15 75:9, *15* 76:*15* 77:*15*, *18*, 19 receive 25:20 receives 82:19 recollection 26:18 33:3 recommend 21:5

recommendation 11:22, 25 25:10 67:14 recommendations 20:21, 25 recommending 73:5, 9 recommends 22:2 reconvene 68:13 **record** 4:14 6:8 34:13 38:1 43:25 44:1 57:12 59:8 68:16 82:10, 11, 21 83:9 recorded 44:6 recording 37:17 43:25 **Records** 52:24

recruit 6:24 refer 11:13 referred 61:15 referring 11:14 32:5 reflection 39:13 40:10 refuse 59:17, 24 regard 73:16 regarding 52:22

73:6 75:8 78:9 regards 38:17 74:6 Regulations 2:21 82:5 relating 82:24

relating 82:10 relative 83:16 relevant 26:6 69:21

remember 48:12 remind 43:15 reminder 43:21 REMOTE 2:1 render 49:21 repeat 57:21

repeated 24:24 rephrase 23:25 39:3 58:20

**report** 9:2 62:4, 11 65:23 66:1, 2

79:20, 25 80:9, 10, 11, 21 82:11 **reported** 8:17, 25 9:6

**Reporter** 1:*1* 2:22 4:*1*2 5:2 14:2*1* 44:9 82:*1*, *3*, *7*, *8*, 21, 22 83:22

**Reporting** 2:21 82:6, 9, 16

reports 80:4 repository 82:24 represent 51:9 83:5

representations 82:4

request 12:22, 23 13:3, 6, 18, 20, 25 14:11 15:10, 16 46:13

requesting 40:15

require 53:7 required 17:12 72:19 73:2, 8 80:9, 15

requires 8:4 24:3 reshare 44:4 46:1 resistance 45:8, 11 resistence 45:12 resisting 44:17, 23 45:6, 11

responding 75:9 responses 10:5 12:17, 24 13:3, 6, 11, 25 14:10, 14 68:4, 9 74:2, 3

responded 47:25

restate 39:4 restaurant 6:15 result 6:18 8:21, 22

return 70:7 review 12:10, 16, 19 15:8, 14 16:6 28:24 66:6 72:19 73:2 82:1

reviewed 11:12 reviewing 22:4

revisit 68:23 rewatching 29:8 rewinding 29:10 right 5:8 10:19 11:3 18:14 19:11 27:19 33:16, 19 34:1 35:22 40:1,

77:15

23 41:2, 10 42:4, 9, 13, 15 43:13, 22 44:12, 15, 21 45:4 46:1 47:1 48:21

49:23 53:19, 23 54:25 55:8, 12 60:4 62:20 68:15

72:9 76:4
rights 59:19 60:1
RMR 1:1 83:22

road 35:12 70:13 role 26:13 47:23 roles 10:1 13:16 14:8

**room** 24:24 31:8 65:20

Rule 3:19 4:2 23:10 24:3, 6 43:17 81:20 Rules 2:21 4:6 8

**Rules** 2:21 4:6, 8 23:12 81:20 82:5 **run** 35:13

running 36:14

<S>
Saint 72:6
save 54:7
saw 35:16 36:12,
15

**saying** 19:5 20:17 47:17 51:10, 11 52:20

says 20:15 41:13 42:12 44:16 46:4, 21 54:25 55:2 63:3, 16 64:14 scars 77:5

scenarios 8:8, 13 scheduled 13:14 science 69:25 70:2

71:5, 6, 7, 20

<b>scope</b> 6:7 9:17 34:16 38:23 53:6
34:16 38:23 53:6
56:7 61:19 65:3
screamed 49:20
screen 10:20, 21
12:22 33:18, 21, 22
39:24 40:25 44:13,
16 46:2 48:17
62:20, 23
scroll 41:3 42:11
seal 83:15
seat 32:9
second 35:1 39:22
43:1, 25 44:5
53:21
secondary 28:11
see 8:14, 20 10:20
11:16, 19 12:1, 3, 5,
20 23:25 24:16
26:10 32:15 33:21,
25 36:13, 14 39:23
40:25 41:4, 11, 12
44:12, 15 46:3, 20
49:9 54:24 55:4
62:7, 22 63:2
64:13, 22, 23 65:11
67:5 70:15, 16
71:25
seeing 31:20 34:2
65:9
seen 9:15 10:23
24:8 29:21 31:6
37:5 41:2, 16 59:8
61:11, 12 63:13
67:6
sense 18:19 26:12
28:14 33:8 38:6
52:25 71:3
separate 53:7 80:4
September 1:1
83:19
serious 8:21 23:10
24:3 64:14, 16, 17,
20 65:1
seriously 46:14
service 7:14
services 82:16
set 23:12 39:22
<b>seven</b> 63:7

share 8:24 10:20
33:18 48:17 62:20
shirt 45:15
shoot 51:15 70:19,
22, 24 71: <i>1</i>
shooting 26:25
32:6 53:4 59:4
63:7 70:7, 10
shootings 10:18
27:9, 11, 25 31:21
59:5
<b>shot</b> 28:2, 3 51:10
53:3 65:5 70:8, 25
shoulder 34:21
45: <i>14</i>
show 19:3 33:18
34:1 39:19 40:23
49:23 51:4 53:19,
23 54:13 58:7
62:21
showed 58:12
showing 37:6
showing 37.0 shown 77:13
shown 77.13 shows 77:9
side 45:17 66:11
70:24
signature 81:22
83: <i>15</i>
silence 60:7, 8, 15,
18
sir 4:12 5:19, 21, 24 7:23 8:1, 4, 10,
15, 16 9:9, 13, 20
10:3, 6, 9, 11, 22, 24
11:2, 4, 7, 24 12:14
13:12, 17, 21 14:15
15:12 16:5, 10, 13
17:11, 15, 18, 22
18:23 20:22 33:23,
25 34:4 36:21
39:24, 25 52:15
55:13 59:21 68:6,
21 69:1, 23 71:24
72:1 78:18 81:17
sit 30:6 31:2
sitting 64:11
<b>situation</b> 9:1, 4
11:18 12:2 16:23,
24 17:17 20:9, 12,

hur Nixon			
13 30:17 31:23 32:4 53:9 70:4 79:11 situational 17:3			
situations 8:21, 22 31:1 69:22			
six 21:14 29:13,			
16 64:5, 10 sjmiller@atlantaga.			
<b>gov</b> 2:13			
skip 19: <i>12</i> sky 42: <i>21</i>			
slapping 77:10			
slow 29:11 52:19			
snitch 61:16			
<b>software</b> 29: <i>10</i> <b>solely</b> 82: <i>13</i>			
somebody 39:15			
45:8			
<b>soon</b> 37:16, 17 <b>SOP</b> 54:11, 19			
57:25			
<b>SOPs</b> 16:9 66:25 75:3			
<b>sorry</b> 12:25 13:22 17:25 21:21 22:14			
23:16 32:6 37:23			
42:25 55:3 58:11			
59: <i>13</i> 67: <i>18</i> 73:22 81: <i>4</i>			
sort 7:16 11:23			
20:11 22:19 25:3			
51:16 65:22 69:20			
71:9 <b>span</b> 64:8			
speak 9:22 11:1, 6			
16:11 29:2 43:18			
48:1 53:8, 11 60:16			
speaking 24:7			
27:5 35:15 57:10, 15 61:6			
specialized 71:22			
specific 27:20			
35:3 65:21			
<b>specifically</b> 10: <i>17</i> 11: <i>14</i> 15: <i>14</i> 65: <i>6</i>			
82:5			
<b>Speculation</b> 57:14			

speculative 28:17
53:5 56:5 57:5, 16
<b>speed</b> 70:14
spending 29:7
<b>SPO</b> 41:13, 19
<b>spoke</b> 40:20
spouse 62:9
spray 78:20
<b>spread</b> 65: <i>15</i> <b>STACI</b> 2: <i>9</i> 4: <i>23</i>
staff 32:23
stam 52.23 stamp 54:24
stand 18:12
standard 8:8, 12
16:6, 20 18:4, 7
standards 66:21, 22
standing 38:22
70: <i>13</i>
start 6:24 7:6
37:17 40:16 65:9
70:7
<b>started</b> 4:13 30:18,
19
<b>state</b> 4:14 5:8
59:8 64:16 82:8
83:2
statement 2:22
41:20 42:3, 23
45:18, 19 64:23
statements 42:20
STATES 1:1
stats 62:16
step 26:21
stiffen 45:10
stop 36:10 57:9
<b>stopped</b> 44: <i>17</i> <b>stories</b> 62: <i>4</i>
stories 62:4 story 28:13 33:8
story 28:75 55:8 strange 28:7
stranger 18:14
street 36:25
striking 70:8
struck 41:14
stuck 52:19
stuck 32.19 studying 29:5
studying 23.3 stuff 30:9 32:15
51:19, 20
subcontractor 82:9,

Griffin vs. City of Atlanta

Gillin vs. City of Atlanta	30(0)(0) 11		
14	switch 38:4	<b>testified</b> 5:5 9:10	time-consuming
<b>subject</b> 8:3 52:23	sworn 5:4	74:6, 12 75:8 76:5	29:9
subjective 17:20	system 24:22, 23,	77:13 78:8	times 8:25 10:12
subjects 9:23	25 25:4, 8, 13, 14	testifies 39:12	24:18 28:3 29:17
submitted 2:22	systemic 63:22	40:9 47:8 61:15	67:24 69:13
82:21, 22		testify 6:6 9:22	title 5:9
subsequent 24:19	<t></t>	10:1, 4 13:14	today 10:8 11:9
substantiate 66:20	tackle 34:22 35:17	34:17 35:8 38:18	15:20 16:7, 8 30:6
77:7	46:10	57:13 59:25 61:9	31:2 73:16 74:3
successive 66:15	tackled 35:24	73:16	today's 11:10
suggest 22:19	36:2 39:15, 17	testifying 34:18	16:12
<b>Suite</b> 2:11	44:17, 21	38:19 57:9 61:20	<b>told</b> 42:4, 5 45:19
summaries 41:8	tackling 36:11	76:15	46:25 48:13 62:8
summarization	take 17:4 20:16	testimony 78:14	top 38:8 46:3
80:11	26:21 32:19 43:4	tests 47:8	78:22
<b>Summary</b> 3:16	44:19 45:8 65:1	text 41:12 52:7	<b>Topic</b> 11:1, 3
41:19 42:1 80:17	68:11 71:10 72:13	<b>Thank</b> 5:2 7:14,	<b>Topics</b> 6:6, 9 11:5
supervisor 12:3, 6	76: <i>1</i>	15 18:2 38:24	14:4 73:17
<b>support</b> 41:17	<b>taken</b> 4:3, 4, 6, 9	40:1 43:20 44:8,	total 29:14 83:4
supposed 24:22	65:7 67:23 75:16	10 49:7 68:15, 18	totality 16:23 75:8,
25:1, 16, 18 37:16	83:6	72:10, 11, 13 81:13,	14 76:23
46:13	takes 21:25	15, 17, 18	totally 20:22 22:24
<b>Sure</b> 4:18 13:8, 9,	talk 51:19 60:25	<b>thereto</b> 83:15	track 65:18
24 15:23 23:25	talking 6:16 35:9	thing 15:16 25:3	training 7:16, 22
27:2 32:21 48:12	36:18 47:25 51:12,	28:1 51:16 60:22	68:23 69:20, 21, 23
49:6 57:23 62:3,	19, 20 58:18 64:9	70:15 76:25	71:21, 22
16 65:25 66:23, 25	75:7	things 30:19, 22	transcript 82:20
67:1	<b>Taser</b> 78:20	31:9 37:7	83:4, 6, 8, 13
surfacing 30:18	tasked 73:5, 8	think 12:21 28:1,	Transcripts 82:20,
suspect 6:16	taught 7:24 8:2	5, 23 29:24 30:25	23
suspects 75:24	teach 70:3	31:5 34:9 35:4, 20	transport 50:25
suspension 22:11	team 26:25 59:4	36:9 38:11 39:5, 9	51:3
24:3	technical 79:9	40:4, 13, 19 41:5	trespass 6:16
suspicious 58:1, 5	technology 48:8	44:23 45:22 48:24	trial 4:8 tried 46:5
sustain 66:24, 25	tell 60:19 61:2, 4	50:2 53:12 60:4, 9,	
67:13 77:11 sustained 12:4	80:10, 16 81:1	17 62:12, 17 67:7	<b>trigger</b> 24:18, 22 25:18
18:25 19:1, 4, 6, 9	telling 52:6 61:3 tells 76:24, 25	68:3, 5, 12 69:2 70:18 76:3	<b>Trinity</b> 2:10
20:18, 20 22:1	ten 24:4 25:13	thousands 69:10	trouble 34:2
23:9 27:7, 12	26:2 28:2	time 7:2 12:8	true 8:11 46:12,
66:20 67:3 77:8	tenth 25:15	15:2 21: <i>17</i> 23:23	13 82:20 83:5, 8
sustaining 20:3	term 70:12	29:6 30:5 31:14	truth 61:2, 3, 4
SUV 48:5	terminate 32:19	33:15 38:25 43:3	truthfulness 27:22,
SW 2:10	terminated 32:12	44:7 53:17 54:9,	23 33:5 61:2
swear 4:11	31:22 32:10	21, 24 58:15, 23	try 34:5
swerve 41:13	termination 32:11	68:19 70:20 72:10	trying 35:13
swiped 44:25	terminology 60:18	77:18 78:3, 7	turn 12:1 20:16
swiping 39:16	terms 32:24 33:10	81:14 82:19	54:6, 12 63:2 70:6
	38:14 69:20 82:14	01.11	0.0,12 0.0
L	33.17 07.20 02.17		

Case 1:20-cv-02514-VMC

turned 6:17 54:5,	21 18:3 24:15, 25	18 9:2, 5 15:3, 24	39:6 40:5, 15, 19
21, 22 55:6, 7, 15	25:14 26:2, 4, 14	20:11 29:7, 19, 20,	50:3, 13 52:5
56:1, 12, 14 57:3,	27:10, 16 30:25	23 33:19 34:2, 7,	54:17, 19 56:7
24 58:2 77:19	39:10 53:18 58:4	10, 11, 14 35:5	79:10
78:6	60:18 66:2, 17, 20,	36:9 39:20 40:2	ways 74:16, 21
turning 20:15	24 67:13 69:22	41:16 44:20, 24	75:2
77:15	77:7, 24 78:1, 4, 6,	46:24 48:13, 22	weapon 35:9
Twenty-two 5:14	9, 15, 16, 19, 24	49:1, 10, 19, 24, 25	36:18, 20 52:8
twice 28:3	79:3, 8, 15	50:4 51:5, 6 53:2,	53:17 70:6, 9, 20
two 55:8, 11 56:1	useful 76:6, 21	20, 23, 25 54:4, 13,	78:20, 24 79:2
76:3 79:17	use-of-force 7:21	15, 18 58:9, 12	week 65:10
<b>TYLER</b> 1:1 4:5	26:22 27:11, 16	69:16 76:15, 18	weighing 76:24
type 20:7 49:21	30:25 31:3 59:6	77:13, 16 82:9, 16	Well 12:7 27:23
79:11	71:13	videos 29:13, 16,	37:16 53:10 60:14
types 22:9, 20	usually 66:11	18, 21, 22 48:19	71:6, 12 79:8, 15
75:4 78:15	80:11	<b>VIDEOTAPED</b> 1:1	went 35:15 36:3
typically 11:21	utility 78:19	view 30:11	62:9 70:2
typicany 11.21	utility 70.19	violate 66:19	we're 25:16 31:20,
< U >	< V >	violated 00.19	24 38:8 48:18
<b>Uber</b> 32:8	Vague 74:19, 25	59:19	56:7 64:8 77:5
	75:20		We've 64:14, 15
<b>uh</b> 46:6, 21 <b>Um-hum</b> 15:18	valid 53:18	violating 23:9 violation 8:14	,
		24:6 43:17 66:20	65:9, 14
16:10 32:14 35:19	<b>various</b> 9:23 10:1 18:20 22:8	voice 51:9	<b>whatnot</b> 18: <i>18</i> <b>wide</b> 78: <i>16</i>
67:16 80:17 undergone 7:21	vast 48:9	voice 31:9	window 35:15
Tundergone 1.21			william 5)/)
		- W -	
understand 9:21,	<b>vehicle</b> 37:14	<w></w>	withdraw 58:12
<b>understand</b> 9:21, 25 10:7 16:21	<b>vehicle</b> 37:14 41:13 50:21, 25	wagon 50:19, 25	withdraw 58:12 withdrawn 83:12
<b>understand</b> 9:21, 25 10:7 16:21 73:22	<b>vehicle</b> 37:14 41:13 50:21, 25 51:3 52:18 53:3	<b>wagon</b> 50:19, 25 51:2	withdraw 58:12 withdrawn 83:12 Witness 1:1 4:11,
understand 9:21, 25 10:7 16:21 73:22 understanding	vehicle 37:14 41:13 50:21, 25 51:3 52:18 53:3 vehicle's 37:15	wagon 50:19, 25 51:2 waived 81:22	withdraw 58:12 withdrawn 83:12 Witness 1:1 4:11, 16 43:8, 10, 23
understand 9:21, 25 10:7 16:21 73:22 understanding 10:25	vehicle 37:14 41:13 50:21, 25 51:3 52:18 53:3 vehicle's 37:15 verbatim 82:11	wagon 50:19, 25 51:2 waived 81:22 Walden 72:6, 7	withdraw 58:12 withdrawn 83:12 Witness 1:1 4:11, 16 43:8, 10, 23 47:12 48:2 56:6
understand 9:21, 25 10:7 16:21 73:22 understanding 10:25 Understood 43:23	vehicle 37:14 41:13 50:21, 25 51:3 52:18 53:3 vehicle's 37:15 verbatim 82:11 verified 68:3, 5	wagon 50:19, 25 51:2 waived 81:22 Walden 72:6, 7 walking 51:13	withdraw 58:12 withdrawn 83:12 Witness 1:1 4:11, 16 43:8, 10, 23 47:12 48:2 56:6 57:10, 13 59:18
understand 9:21, 25 10:7 16:21 73:22 understanding 10:25 Understood 43:23 unethical 57:11, 14	vehicle 37:14 41:13 50:21, 25 51:3 52:18 53:3 vehicle's 37:15 verbatim 82:11 verified 68:3, 5 versus 4:5 9:7	wagon 50:19, 25 51:2 waived 81:22 Walden 72:6, 7 walking 51:13 wall 69:16	withdraw 58:12 withdrawn 83:12 Witness 1:1 4:11, 16 43:8, 10, 23 47:12 48:2 56:6 57:10, 13 59:18 61:24 68:14 81:17,
understand 9:21, 25 10:7 16:21 73:22 understanding 10:25 Understood 43:23 unethical 57:11, 14 unfound 19:23	vehicle 37:14 41:13 50:21, 25 51:3 52:18 53:3 vehicle's 37:15 verbatim 82:11 verified 68:3, 5 versus 4:5 9:7 20:10	wagon 50:19, 25 51:2 waived 81:22 Walden 72:6, 7 walking 51:13 wall 69:16 want 7:8 27:1	withdraw 58:12 withdrawn 83:12 Witness 1:1 4:11, 16 43:8, 10, 23 47:12 48:2 56:6 57:10, 13 59:18 61:24 68:14 81:17, 22
understand 9:21, 25 10:7 16:21 73:22 understanding 10:25 Understood 43:23 unethical 57:11, 14 unfound 19:23 20:7	vehicle 37:14 41:13 50:21, 25 51:3 52:18 53:3 vehicle's 37:15 verbatim 82:11 verified 68:3, 5 versus 4:5 9:7 20:10 VICKERS 1:1	wagon 50:19, 25 51:2 waived 81:22 Walden 72:6, 7 walking 51:13 wall 69:16 want 7:8 27:1 29:11, 12, 13 35:1	withdraw 58:12 withdrawn 83:12 Witness 1:1 4:11, 16 43:8, 10, 23 47:12 48:2 56:6 57:10, 13 59:18 61:24 68:14 81:17, 22 witnesses 10:2
understand 9:21, 25 10:7 16:21 73:22 understanding 10:25 Understood 43:23 unethical 57:11, 14 unfound 19:23 20:7 unfounded 19:14,	vehicle 37:14 41:13 50:21, 25 51:3 52:18 53:3 vehicle's 37:15 verbatim 82:11 verified 68:3, 5 versus 4:5 9:7 20:10 VICKERS 1:1 26:14, 16, 19 27:20,	wagon 50:19, 25 51:2 waived 81:22 Walden 72:6, 7 walking 51:13 wall 69:16 want 7:8 27:1 29:11, 12, 13 35:1 38:7, 9 39:19	withdraw 58:12 withdrawn 83:12 Witness 1:1 4:11, 16 43:8, 10, 23 47:12 48:2 56:6 57:10, 13 59:18 61:24 68:14 81:17, 22 witnesses 10:2 13:16 14:8, 22
understand 9:21, 25 10:7 16:21 73:22 understanding 10:25 Understood 43:23 unethical 57:11, 14 unfound 19:23 20:7 unfounded 19:14, 19	vehicle 37:14 41:13 50:21, 25 51:3 52:18 53:3 vehicle's 37:15 verbatim 82:11 verified 68:3, 5 versus 4:5 9:7 20:10 VICKERS 1:1 26:14, 16, 19 27:20, 21 28:4, 8, 13, 21	wagon 50:19, 25 51:2 waived 81:22 Walden 72:6, 7 walking 51:13 wall 69:16 want 7:8 27:1 29:11, 12, 13 35:1 38:7, 9 39:19 40:23 48:11, 12	withdraw 58:12 withdrawn 83:12 Witness 1:1 4:11, 16 43:8, 10, 23 47:12 48:2 56:6 57:10, 13 59:18 61:24 68:14 81:17, 22 witnesses 10:2 13:16 14:8, 22 29:3 42:9 82:23
understand 9:21, 25 10:7 16:21 73:22 understanding 10:25 Understood 43:23 unethical 57:11, 14 unfound 19:23 20:7 unfounded 19:14, 19 unfounding 20:4	vehicle 37:14 41:13 50:21, 25 51:3 52:18 53:3 vehicle's 37:15 verbatim 82:11 verified 68:3, 5 versus 4:5 9:7 20:10 VICKERS 1:1 26:14, 16, 19 27:20, 21 28:4, 8, 13, 21 29:3, 20 30:2, 3, 4,	wagon 50:19, 25 51:2 waived 81:22 Walden 72:6, 7 walking 51:13 wall 69:16 want 7:8 27:1 29:11, 12, 13 35:1 38:7, 9 39:19 40:23 48:11, 12 53:19 57:11 60:18	withdraw 58:12 withdrawn 83:12 Witness 1:1 4:11, 16 43:8, 10, 23 47:12 48:2 56:6 57:10, 13 59:18 61:24 68:14 81:17, 22 witnesses 10:2 13:16 14:8, 22 29:3 42:9 82:23 word 20:10 58:4
understand 9:21, 25 10:7 16:21 73:22 understanding 10:25 Understood 43:23 unethical 57:11, 14 unfound 19:23 20:7 unfounded 19:14, 19 unfounding 20:4 Unh-unh 29:1	vehicle 37:14 41:13 50:21, 25 51:3 52:18 53:3 vehicle's 37:15 verbatim 82:11 verified 68:3, 5 versus 4:5 9:7 20:10 VICKERS 1:1 26:14, 16, 19 27:20, 21 28:4, 8, 13, 21 29:3, 20 30:2, 3, 4, 5, 10 31:3 34:25	wagon 50:19, 25 51:2 waived 81:22 Walden 72:6, 7 walking 51:13 wall 69:16 want 7:8 27:1 29:11, 12, 13 35:1 38:7, 9 39:19 40:23 48:11, 12 53:19 57:11 60:18 63:1 68:18, 23	withdraw 58:12 withdrawn 83:12 Witness 1:1 4:11, 16 43:8, 10, 23 47:12 48:2 56:6 57:10, 13 59:18 61:24 68:14 81:17, 22 witnesses 10:2 13:16 14:8, 22 29:3 42:9 82:23 word 20:10 58:4 65:4
understand 9:21, 25 10:7 16:21 73:22 understanding 10:25 Understood 43:23 unethical 57:11, 14 unfound 19:23 20:7 unfounded 19:14, 19 unfounding 20:4 Unh-unh 29:1 unit 8:20	vehicle 37:14 41:13 50:21, 25 51:3 52:18 53:3 vehicle's 37:15 verbatim 82:11 verified 68:3, 5 versus 4:5 9:7 20:10 VICKERS 1:1 26:14, 16, 19 27:20, 21 28:4, 8, 13, 21 29:3, 20 30:2, 3, 4, 5, 10 31:3 34:25 35:16, 24 36:2, 10,	wagon 50:19, 25 51:2  waived 81:22  Walden 72:6, 7  walking 51:13  wall 69:16  want 7:8 27:1  29:11, 12, 13 35:1  38:7, 9 39:19  40:23 48:11, 12  53:19 57:11 60:18  63:1 68:18, 23  warning 25:6, 7, 18,	withdraw 58:12 withdrawn 83:12 Witness 1:1 4:11, 16 43:8, 10, 23 47:12 48:2 56:6 57:10, 13 59:18 61:24 68:14 81:17, 22 witnesses 10:2 13:16 14:8, 22 29:3 42:9 82:23 word 20:10 58:4 65:4 work 48:10 60:15
understand 9:21, 25 10:7 16:21 73:22 understanding 10:25 Understood 43:23 unethical 57:11, 14 unfound 19:23 20:7 unfounded 19:14, 19 unfounding 20:4 Unh-unh 29:1 unit 8:20 UNITED 1:1	vehicle 37:14 41:13 50:21, 25 51:3 52:18 53:3 vehicle's 37:15 verbatim 82:11 verified 68:3, 5 versus 4:5 9:7 20:10 VICKERS 1:1 26:14, 16, 19 27:20, 21 28:4, 8, 13, 21 29:3, 20 30:2, 3, 4, 5, 10 31:3 34:25 35:16, 24 36:2, 10, 14 38:14 39:6, 9	wagon 50:19, 25 51:2 waived 81:22 Walden 72:6, 7 walking 51:13 wall 69:16 want 7:8 27:1 29:11, 12, 13 35:1 38:7, 9 39:19 40:23 48:11, 12 53:19 57:11 60:18 63:1 68:18, 23 warning 25:6, 7, 18, 21	withdraw 58:12 withdrawn 83:12 Witness 1:1 4:11, 16 43:8, 10, 23 47:12 48:2 56:6 57:10, 13 59:18 61:24 68:14 81:17, 22 witnesses 10:2 13:16 14:8, 22 29:3 42:9 82:23 word 20:10 58:4 65:4 work 48:10 60:15 81:8, 10
understand 9:21, 25 10:7 16:21 73:22 understanding 10:25 Understood 43:23 unethical 57:11, 14 unfound 19:23 20:7 unfounded 19:14, 19 unfounding 20:4 Unh-unh 29:1 unit 8:20 UNITED 1:1 University 72:6	vehicle 37:14 41:13 50:21, 25 51:3 52:18 53:3 vehicle's 37:15 verbatim 82:11 verified 68:3, 5 versus 4:5 9:7 20:10 VICKERS 1:1 26:14, 16, 19 27:20, 21 28:4, 8, 13, 21 29:3, 20 30:2, 3, 4, 5, 10 31:3 34:25 35:16, 24 36:2, 10, 14 38:14 39:6, 9 40:5, 20 41:13, 17,	wagon 50:19, 25 51:2 waived 81:22 Walden 72:6, 7 walking 51:13 wall 69:16 want 7:8 27:1 29:11, 12, 13 35:1 38:7, 9 39:19 40:23 48:11, 12 53:19 57:11 60:18 63:1 68:18, 23 warning 25:6, 7, 18, 21 watch 14:16 29:14,	withdraw 58:12 withdrawn 83:12 Witness 1:1 4:11, 16 43:8, 10, 23 47:12 48:2 56:6 57:10, 13 59:18 61:24 68:14 81:17, 22 witnesses 10:2 13:16 14:8, 22 29:3 42:9 82:23 word 20:10 58:4 65:4 work 48:10 60:15 81:8, 10 working 7:6 21:9,
understand 9:21, 25 10:7 16:21 73:22 understanding 10:25 Understood 43:23 unethical 57:11, 14 unfound 19:23 20:7 unfounded 19:14, 19 unfounding 20:4 Unh-unh 29:1 unit 8:20 UNITED 1:1 University 72:6 unnecessary 9:12	vehicle 37:14 41:13 50:21, 25 51:3 52:18 53:3 vehicle's 37:15 verbatim 82:11 verified 68:3, 5 versus 4:5 9:7 20:10 VICKERS 1:1 26:14, 16, 19 27:20, 21 28:4, 8, 13, 21 29:3, 20 30:2, 3, 4, 5, 10 31:3 34:25 35:16, 24 36:2, 10, 14 38:14 39:6, 9 40:5, 20 41:13, 17, 19, 20 42:7 46:8,	wagon 50:19, 25 51:2  waived 81:22  Walden 72:6, 7  walking 51:13  wall 69:16  want 7:8 27:1 29:11, 12, 13 35:1 38:7, 9 39:19 40:23 48:11, 12 53:19 57:11 60:18 63:1 68:18, 23  warning 25:6, 7, 18, 21  watch 14:16 29:14, 20 48:18	withdraw 58:12 withdrawn 83:12 Witness 1:1 4:11, 16 43:8, 10, 23 47:12 48:2 56:6 57:10, 13 59:18 61:24 68:14 81:17, 22 witnesses 10:2 13:16 14:8, 22 29:3 42:9 82:23 word 20:10 58:4 65:4 work 48:10 60:15 81:8, 10 working 7:6 21:9, 11 37:22
understand 9:21, 25 10:7 16:21 73:22 understanding 10:25 Understood 43:23 unethical 57:11, 14 unfound 19:23 20:7 unfounded 19:14, 19 unfounding 20:4 Unh-unh 29:1 unit 8:20 UNITED 1:1 University 72:6 unnecessary 9:12 unreasonable 6:2	vehicle 37:14 41:13 50:21, 25 51:3 52:18 53:3 vehicle's 37:15 verbatim 82:11 verified 68:3, 5 versus 4:5 9:7 20:10 VICKERS 1:1 26:14, 16, 19 27:20, 21 28:4, 8, 13, 21 29:3, 20 30:2, 3, 4, 5, 10 31:3 34:25 35:16, 24 36:2, 10, 14 38:14 39:6, 9 40:5, 20 41:13, 17, 19, 20 42:7 46:8, 25 48:5 53:21	wagon 50:19, 25 51:2  waived 81:22  Walden 72:6, 7  walking 51:13  wall 69:16  want 7:8 27:1 29:11, 12, 13 35:1 38:7, 9 39:19 40:23 48:11, 12 53:19 57:11 60:18 63:1 68:18, 23  warning 25:6, 7, 18, 21  watch 14:16 29:14, 20 48:18  watched 15:2, 5	withdraw 58:12 withdrawn 83:12 Witness 1:1 4:11, 16 43:8, 10, 23 47:12 48:2 56:6 57:10, 13 59:18 61:24 68:14 81:17, 22 witnesses 10:2 13:16 14:8, 22 29:3 42:9 82:23 word 20:10 58:4 65:4 work 48:10 60:15 81:8, 10 working 7:6 21:9, 11 37:22 wow 58:25
understand 9:21, 25 10:7 16:21 73:22 understanding 10:25 Understood 43:23 unethical 57:11, 14 unfound 19:23 20:7 unfounded 19:14, 19 unfounding 20:4 Unh-unh 29:1 unit 8:20 UNITED 1:1 University 72:6 unnecessary 9:12 unreasonable 6:2 24:10	vehicle 37:14 41:13 50:21, 25 51:3 52:18 53:3 vehicle's 37:15 verbatim 82:11 verified 68:3, 5 versus 4:5 9:7 20:10 VICKERS 1:1 26:14, 16, 19 27:20, 21 28:4, 8, 13, 21 29:3, 20 30:2, 3, 4, 5, 10 31:3 34:25 35:16, 24 36:2, 10, 14 38:14 39:6, 9 40:5, 20 41:13, 17, 19, 20 42:7 46:8, 25 48:5 53:21 54:3, 18 55:14, 25	wagon 50:19, 25 51:2  waived 81:22  Walden 72:6, 7  walking 51:13  wall 69:16  want 7:8 27:1 29:11, 12, 13 35:1 38:7, 9 39:19 40:23 48:11, 12 53:19 57:11 60:18 63:1 68:18, 23  warning 25:6, 7, 18, 21  watch 14:16 29:14, 20 48:18  watched 15:2, 5 28:10, 12, 22 29:17	withdraw 58:12 withdrawn 83:12 Witness 1:1 4:11, 16 43:8, 10, 23 47:12 48:2 56:6 57:10, 13 59:18 61:24 68:14 81:17, 22 witnesses 10:2 13:16 14:8, 22 29:3 42:9 82:23 word 20:10 58:4 65:4 work 48:10 60:15 81:8, 10 working 7:6 21:9, 11 37:22 wow 58:25 write-up 11:15
understand 9:21, 25 10:7 16:21 73:22 understanding 10:25 Understood 43:23 unethical 57:11, 14 unfound 19:23 20:7 unfounded 19:14, 19 unfounding 20:4 Unh-unh 29:1 unit 8:20 UNITED 1:1 University 72:6 unnecessary 9:12 unreasonable 6:2 24:10 uploaded 82:24	vehicle 37:14 41:13 50:21, 25 51:3 52:18 53:3 vehicle's 37:15 verbatim 82:11 verified 68:3, 5 versus 4:5 9:7 20:10 VICKERS 1:1 26:14, 16, 19 27:20, 21 28:4, 8, 13, 21 29:3, 20 30:2, 3, 4, 5, 10 31:3 34:25 35:16, 24 36:2, 10, 14 38:14 39:6, 9 40:5, 20 41:13, 17, 19, 20 42:7 46:8, 25 48:5 53:21 54:3, 18 55:14, 25 57:3, 24 77:14, 20,	wagon 50:19, 25 51:2  waived 81:22  Walden 72:6, 7  walking 51:13  wall 69:16  want 7:8 27:1 29:11, 12, 13 35:1 38:7, 9 39:19 40:23 48:11, 12 53:19 57:11 60:18 63:1 68:18, 23  warning 25:6, 7, 18, 21  watch 14:16 29:14, 20 48:18  watched 15:2, 5 28:10, 12, 22 29:17 33:15 44:20 46:24	withdraw 58:12 withdrawn 83:12 Witness 1:1 4:11, 16 43:8, 10, 23 47:12 48:2 56:6 57:10, 13 59:18 61:24 68:14 81:17, 22 witnesses 10:2 13:16 14:8, 22 29:3 42:9 82:23 word 20:10 58:4 65:4 work 48:10 60:15 81:8, 10 working 7:6 21:9, 11 37:22 wow 58:25 write-up 11:15 written 2:22
understand 9:21, 25 10:7 16:21 73:22 understanding 10:25 Understood 43:23 unethical 57:11, 14 unfound 19:23 20:7 unfounded 19:14, 19 unfounding 20:4 Unh-unh 29:1 unit 8:20 UNITED 1:1 University 72:6 unnecessary 9:12 unreasonable 6:2 24:10 uploaded 82:24 upper 54:25	vehicle 37:14 41:13 50:21, 25 51:3 52:18 53:3 vehicle's 37:15 verbatim 82:11 verified 68:3, 5 versus 4:5 9:7 20:10 VICKERS 1:1 26:14, 16, 19 27:20, 21 28:4, 8, 13, 21 29:3, 20 30:2, 3, 4, 5, 10 31:3 34:25 35:16, 24 36:2, 10, 14 38:14 39:6, 9 40:5, 20 41:13, 17, 19, 20 42:7 46:8, 25 48:5 53:21 54:3, 18 55:14, 25 57:3, 24 77:14, 20, 25 78:6 80:1, 7	wagon 50:19, 25 51:2  waived 81:22  Walden 72:6, 7  walking 51:13  wall 69:16  want 7:8 27:1 29:11, 12, 13 35:1 38:7, 9 39:19 40:23 48:11, 12 53:19 57:11 60:18 63:1 68:18, 23  warning 25:6, 7, 18, 21  watch 14:16 29:14, 20 48:18  watched 15:2, 5 28:10, 12, 22 29:17 33:15 44:20 46:24  watching 29:8	withdraw 58:12 withdrawn 83:12 Witness 1:1 4:11, 16 43:8, 10, 23 47:12 48:2 56:6 57:10, 13 59:18 61:24 68:14 81:17, 22 witnesses 10:2 13:16 14:8, 22 29:3 42:9 82:23 word 20:10 58:4 65:4 work 48:10 60:15 81:8, 10 working 7:6 21:9, 11 37:22 wow 58:25 write-up 11:15 written 2:22 32:22 37:8 54:20
understand 9:21, 25 10:7 16:21 73:22 understanding 10:25 Understood 43:23 unethical 57:11, 14 unfound 19:23 20:7 unfounded 19:14, 19 unfounding 20:4 Unh-unh 29:1 unit 8:20 UNITED 1:1 University 72:6 unnecessary 9:12 unreasonable 6:2 24:10 uploaded 82:24 upper 54:25 use 4:8 6:1 7:8,	vehicle 37:14 41:13 50:21, 25 51:3 52:18 53:3 vehicle's 37:15 verbatim 82:11 verified 68:3, 5 versus 4:5 9:7 20:10 VICKERS 1:1 26:14, 16, 19 27:20, 21 28:4, 8, 13, 21 29:3, 20 30:2, 3, 4, 5, 10 31:3 34:25 35:16, 24 36:2, 10, 14 38:14 39:6, 9 40:5, 20 41:13, 17, 19, 20 42:7 46:8, 25 48:5 53:21 54:3, 18 55:14, 25 57:3, 24 77:14, 20, 25 78:6 80:1, 7 81:9	wagon 50:19, 25 51:2 waived 81:22 Walden 72:6, 7 walking 51:13 wall 69:16 want 7:8 27:1 29:11, 12, 13 35:1 38:7, 9 39:19 40:23 48:11, 12 53:19 57:11 60:18 63:1 68:18, 23 warning 25:6, 7, 18, 21 watch 14:16 29:14, 20 48:18 watched 15:2, 5 28:10, 12, 22 29:17 33:15 44:20 46:24 watching 29:8 33:12 36:9	withdraw 58:12 withdrawn 83:12 Witness 1:1 4:11, 16 43:8, 10, 23 47:12 48:2 56:6 57:10, 13 59:18 61:24 68:14 81:17, 22 witnesses 10:2 13:16 14:8, 22 29:3 42:9 82:23 word 20:10 58:4 65:4 work 48:10 60:15 81:8, 10 working 7:6 21:9, 11 37:22 wow 58:25 write-up 11:15 written 2:22 32:22 37:8 54:20 wrong 34:9 35:4
understand 9:21, 25 10:7 16:21 73:22 understanding 10:25 Understood 43:23 unethical 57:11, 14 unfound 19:23 20:7 unfounded 19:14, 19 unfounding 20:4 Unh-unh 29:1 unit 8:20 UNITED 1:1 University 72:6 unnecessary 9:12 unreasonable 6:2 24:10 uploaded 82:24 upper 54:25	vehicle 37:14 41:13 50:21, 25 51:3 52:18 53:3 vehicle's 37:15 verbatim 82:11 verified 68:3, 5 versus 4:5 9:7 20:10 VICKERS 1:1 26:14, 16, 19 27:20, 21 28:4, 8, 13, 21 29:3, 20 30:2, 3, 4, 5, 10 31:3 34:25 35:16, 24 36:2, 10, 14 38:14 39:6, 9 40:5, 20 41:13, 17, 19, 20 42:7 46:8, 25 48:5 53:21 54:3, 18 55:14, 25 57:3, 24 77:14, 20, 25 78:6 80:1, 7	wagon 50:19, 25 51:2  waived 81:22  Walden 72:6, 7  walking 51:13  wall 69:16  want 7:8 27:1 29:11, 12, 13 35:1 38:7, 9 39:19 40:23 48:11, 12 53:19 57:11 60:18 63:1 68:18, 23  warning 25:6, 7, 18, 21  watch 14:16 29:14, 20 48:18  watched 15:2, 5 28:10, 12, 22 29:17 33:15 44:20 46:24  watching 29:8	withdraw 58:12 withdrawn 83:12 Witness 1:1 4:11, 16 43:8, 10, 23 47:12 48:2 56:6 57:10, 13 59:18 61:24 68:14 81:17, 22 witnesses 10:2 13:16 14:8, 22 29:3 42:9 82:23 word 20:10 58:4 65:4 work 48:10 60:15 81:8, 10 working 7:6 21:9, 11 37:22 wow 58:25 write-up 11:15 written 2:22 32:22 37:8 54:20

Griffin vs. City of Atlanta

Solb)(6) Arthur Nixon

Exhibit F
9/22/2020

18   50:2, 12   51:11     54:3, 17   56:14     57:2, 23   58:5, 12     79:13     wrote   12:3   45:18     < Y >   y'all   33:19     Yeah   16:10   19:13     20:2   22:7   24:7     32:12   37:1   41:22     42:11, 14   46:4     49:6   54:19   60:4     71:3, 6, 8   76:3     year   62:3   26:24     27:4   64:6     yearly   69:24     years   5:14   63:8     64:4, 8, 10   69:8     Yesterday   15:4     33:15     < Z >   Zenelaj   12:7, 9     zone   65:12, 14, 15     zones   21:16     Zoom   4:9, 16	Griffin vs. City of Atlanta	50(b)(6) Artilul Nixon	9/22/2020
y'all 33:19 Yeah 16:10 19:13 20:2 22:7 24:7 32:12 37:1 41:22 42:11, 14 46:4 49:6 54:19 60:4 71:3, 6, 8 76:3 year 6:23 26:24 27:4 64:6 yearly 69:24 years 5:14 63:8 64:4, 8, 10 69:8 Yesterday 15:4 33:15  < Z > Zenelaj 12:7, 9 zone 65:12, 14, 15 zones 21:16	54:3, 17 56:14 57:2, 23 58:5, 12 79:13		
	<pre>&lt; Y &gt; y'all 33:19 Yeah 16:10 19:13 20:2 22:7 24:7 32:12 37:1 41:22 42:11, 14 46:4 49:6 54:19 60:4 71:3, 6, 8 76:3 year 6:23 26:24 27:4 64:6 yearly 69:24 years 5:14 63:8 64:4, 8, 10 69:8 Yesterday 15:4 33:15 <z> Zenelaj 12:7, 9 zone 65:12, 14, 15 zones 21:16</z></pre>		